CWMA Specifications and Tolerances (S&T) Committee 2016 Annual Meeting Report

Mr. David Fink, Committee Chair Ohio

300 INTRODUCTION

The S&T Committee (hereinafter referred to as the "Committee") submits this Committee Interim Report for consideration by National Conference on Weights and Measures (NCWM). This report contains the items discussed and actions proposed by the Committee during its Interim Meeting in San Diego, California, January 10-13, 2016. The report will address the following items in Table A during the Annual Meeting. Table A identifies the agenda items by reference key, title of item, and page number and addresses the appendices by appendix designations and page number. The acronyms for organizations and technical terms used throughout the report are identified in Table B. The headings and subjects apply to NIST Handbook 44 *Specifications, Tolerances, and Other Technical Requirements for Weighing and Measuring Devices, 2015 Edition*. The first three digits of an item's reference key are assigned from the Subject Series List. The status of each item contained in the report is designated as one of the following: (D) Developing Item: the Committee determined the item has merit; however, the item was returned to the submitter or other designated party for further development before any action can be taken at the national level; (I) Informational Item: the item is under consideration by the Committee but not proposed for Voting; (V) Voting Item: the Committee is making recommendations requiring a vote by the active members of NCWM; (W) Withdrawn Item: the item has been removed from consideration by the Committee.

Some Voting Items are considered individually, others may be grouped in a consent calendar. Consent calendar items are Voting Items that the Committee has assembled as a single Voting Item during their deliberation after the open hearings on the assumption that the items are without opposition and will not require discussion. The Voting Items that have been grouped into consent calendar items will be listed on the addendum sheets. Prior to adoption of the consent calendar, the Committee will entertain any requests from the floor to remove specific items from the consent calendar to be discussed and voted upon individually.

Committees may change the status designation of agenda items (Developing, Informational, Voting, and Withdrawn) up until the time that the report is adopted, except that items which are marked Developing, Informational or Withdrawn cannot be changed to Voting Status. Any change from the Committee Interim Report (as contained in this publication) or from what appears on the addendum sheets will be explained to the attendees prior to a motion and will be acted upon by the active members of NCWM prior to calling for the vote.

An "Item Under Consideration" is a statement of proposal and not necessarily a recommendation of the Committee. Suggested revisions are shown in **bold face print** by **striking out** information to be deleted and **underlining** information to be added. Requirements that are proposed to be nonretroactive are printed in **bold faced italics**. Additional letters, presentations, and data may have been part of the Committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/annual/publication-16</u> to review these documents.

Note: The policy of NIST and NCWM is to use metric units of measurement in all of their publications; however, recommendations received by NCWM technical committees and regional weights and measures associations have been printed in this publication as submitted. Therefore, the report may contain references to inch-pound units.

Subject Series List

Introduction	300 Series
NIST Handbook 44 – General Code	310 Series
Scales	
Belt-Conveyor Scale Systems	
Automatic Bulk Weighing Systems	
Weights	
Automatic Weighing Systems	
Weigh-In-Motion Systems used for Vehicle Enforcement Screening	325 Series
Liquid-Measuring Devices	
Vehicle-Tank Meters	331 Series
Liquefied Petroleum Gas and Anhydrous Ammonia Liquid-Measuring Devices	
Hydrocarbon Gas Vapor-Measuring Devices	333 Series
Cryogenic Liquid-Measuring Devices	
Milk Meters	335 Series
Water Meters	336 Series
Mass Flow Meters	337 Series
Carbon Dioxide Liquid-Measuring Devices	338 Series
Hydrogen Gas-Metering Devices	
Electric Vehicle Refueling Systems	
Vehicle Tanks Used as Measures	
Liquid Measures	
Farm Milk Tanks	
Measure-Containers	
Graduates	
Dry Measures	
Berry Baskets and Boxes	
Fabric-Measuring Devices	
Wire-and Cordage-Measuring Devices	
Linear Measures	
Odometers	353 Series
Taximeters	
Timing Devices	
Grain Moisture Meters	
Near-Infrared Grain Analyzers	
Multiple Dimension Measuring Devices	
Electronic Livestock, Meat, and Poultry Evaluation Systems and/or Devices	
Other Items – Developing Items	

Table ATable of Contents

Refe	rence Ke	y	Title of Item S&T F	Page
300		•	TION	
310			K 44 - GENERAL CODE	
	310-1	v	G-S.1. Identification. – (Software)	6
	310-2	V	G-S.9. Metrologically Significant Software Updates	
320	SCALI	ES		9
	320-1	W	S.1.1.3. Automated Batching Systems (See Related Item 360-3)	9
	320-2	V	S.5.4. Relationship of Load Cell Verification Interval to the Scale Division	
	320-3	V	N.1.3.3.2. Prescribed Test Pattern and Test Loads for Livestock Scales with More Than Two Sections and Combination Vehicle/Livestock Scales <i>and</i> N.1.3.3.3. Prescribed Test Patterns and Test Loads for Two-Section Livestock Scales.	
222		лла т		
322			IC BULK WEIGHING SYSTEMS	
	322-1 322-2	D V	A. Application, S Specifications, N. Notes, UR. User Requirements	
			N.1. Testing Procedures. and T. Tolerances	
325			MOTION SYSTEMS USED FOR VEHICLE ENFORCEMENT SCREENING	19
	325-1	D	A. Application. and Sections Throughout the Code to Address Commercial and Law	10
			Enforcement Applications	
330	-		CASURING DEVICES	
	330-1	V	S.1.6.3. Return to Zero (See Related Items 331-1 and 332-1)	27
	330-2 330-3	V V	S.X.X Card Operated Retail Motor Fuel Devices N.4.5 Verification of Linearization Factors.	
	330-3 330-4	v D	Recognized the Use of Digital Density Meters	
331		_	TANK METERS	
551	331-1	V	S.1.1.5. Return to Zero, S.1.1.6. Initial Zero Indication – Electronic Devices (See Related	
	551-1	v	Items 330-1 and 332-1)	
	331-2	V	Table S.2.2. Categories of Sealing and Methods of Sealing (See Related Items 332-4,	
			334-1, 335-1, 337-1, 338-1 and 339-1)	
	331-3	D	S.3.7. Manifold Hose Flush System	
	331-4	V	N.4.6 Verification of Linearization Factors.	
332	LPG A	ND A	NHYDROUS AMMONIA LIQUID-MEASURING DEVICES	
	332-1	V	S.1.4.2. Return to Zero, S.1.4.3. Initial Zero Indication – Electronic Devices. (See Related Items 330-1 and 331-1)	
	332-2	V	S.1.4.3. Provisions for Power Loss, S.1.5.1.1. Unit Price., S.1.5.1.2. Product Identity., S.1.6. For Retail Motor Vehicle Fuel Devices Only., S.1.7. For Wholesale Devices Only.	
			, UR.2.7. Unit Price and Product Identity., and UR.2.8. Computing Device	
	332-3	V	S.2.1. Vapor Elimination	43
	332-4	V	Table S.2.2. Categories of Sealing and Methods of Sealing (See Related Items 331-2, 334-1, 335-1, 337-1, 338-1 and 339-1)	44
	332-5	V	N.3. Test Drafts	
	332-6	V	N.4.2.3. For Wholesale Devices	47
	332-7	V	UR.2.3. Vapor-Return Line	48
334	CRYO	GENI	C LIQUID-MEASURING DEVICES	50
	334-1	V	Table S.252. Categories of Sealing and Methods of Sealing (See Related Items 331-2, 332-4, 335-1, 337-1, 338-1 and 339-1)	50
335	мп к	MFT	ERS	

	335-1	V	Table S.2.2. Categories of Sealing and Methods of Sealing (See Related Items 331-2, 332-4, 334-1, 337-1, 338-1 and 339-1)	51
337	MASS	FLOV	W METERS	
557	337-1	V	Table S.3.5. Categories of Sealing and Methods of Sealing (See Related Items 331-2,	
	557-1	v	332-4, 334-1, 335-1, 338-1 and 339-1)	53
	337-2	V	Appendix D – Definitions: Diesel Liter Equivalent (DLE) and Diesel Gallon Equivalents (DGE) for Compressed Natural Gas and Liquefied Natural Gas; Definition of Gasoline Gallon Equivalent and Gasoline Liter Equivalent for Compressed Natural Gas; S.1.2. Compressed Natural Gas and Liquefied Natural Gas Dispensers; S.1.3.1.1. Compressed Natural Gas Used as an Engine Fuel; S.1.3.1.2. Liquefied Natural Gas Used as an Engine	
			Fuel; S.5.2. Marking of Diesel and Gasoline Volume Equivalent Conversion Factor; Compressed Natural Gas, S.5.3. Marking of Diesel Volume Equivalent Conversion Factor; Liquefied Natural Gas, UR.3.1.1. Marking of Equivalent Conversion Factor for Compressed Natural Gas, UR.3.1.2. Marking of Equivalent Conversion Factor for Liquefied Natural Gas, and UR.3.8. Return of Product to Storage, Retail Compressed Natural Gas and Liquefied Natural Gas	55
	337-3	v	N.3. Test Drafts	
338	CARR		IOXIDE LIQUID-MEASURING DEVICES	
330				
	338-1	V	Table S.2.5. Categories of Sealing and Methods of Sealing (See Related Items 331-2, 332-4, 334-1, 335-1, 337-1 and 339-1)	
	338-2	V	S.3.1. Vapor Elimination	
339	HYDR	OGEI	N GAS-METERING DEVICES	62
	339-1	V	Table S.3.3. Categories of Sealing and Methods of Sealing (See Related Items 331-2,332-4, 334-1, 335-1, 337-1 and 338-1)	62
	339-2	V	Table T.2. Accuracy Classes and Tolerances for Hydrogen Gas-Measuring Devices	64
354	TAXIN	ЛЕТЕ	RS	65
	354-1	v	S.1.2. Advancement of Indicating Elements.	65
	354-2	V	S.2. Basis of Fare Calculations	
	354-3	V	S.3.2. Flag	
	354-4	V	Appendix D - Definitions: Flat Rate and Negotiated Rate	69
	354-5	D	USNWG on Taximeters – Taximeter Code Revisions and Global Positioning System-	70
	2516	р	Based Systems for Time and Distance Measurement	
	354-6	D	Transportation Network Systems – Draft code	
358	MULT	IPLE	DIMENSION MEASURING DEVICES	77
	358-1	V	Table S.4.1.a. Marking Requirements for Multiple Dimension Measuring Systems, TableS.4.1.b. Multiple Dimension Measuring Systems Notes for Table S.4.1.a.	77
	358-2	V	Table S.4.1.a. Marking Requirements for Multiple Dimension Measuring Systems, TableS.4.1.b. Multiple Dimension Measuring Systems Notes for Table S.4.1.a.	78
	358-3	V	S.2.2.1. Maximum Value of Tare for Multi-Interval (Variable Division Value) Devices. S.2.2.2. Net Values, Mathematical Agreement, Table 1: Examples of Acceptable Altering of Tare to Achieve Accurate Net Indication, Table 2: Examples of Acceptable Rounding of the Net Result (Following the Subtraction of Tare) to Achieve Accurate Net Indication, Table S.4.1.a., Marking Requirements for Multiple Dimension Measuring Systems, T.2.3. Multi-interval (Variable Division-Value) Devices., T.2.4. Mixed-interval Devices.	80
360	OTHE	R ITE	MS	83
	360-1	D	Electric Watthour Meters Code under Development	
	360-2	W	Appendix A – Fundamental Considerations, 2.1. Acceptance and Maintenance Tolerances	
	360-3	V	Appendix D – Definitions: Batching System (See Related Item 320-1)	
	360-4	v	Appendix D – Definitions: calibration parameter	
	360-5	D	Appendix D – Definitions: Remote Configuration Capability	

Appendices

A Background/Discussion on Agenda Items of the S&T CommitteeA1

Acronym	Term	Acronym	Term
API	American Petroleum Institute	NCWM	National Conference on Weights
		1.0.001	and Measures
CC	Certificate of Conformance	NEWMA	Northeastern Weights and Measures
cc	Certificate of Conformatice		Association
CNG		NIST	National Institute of Standards and
CNU	Compressed Natural Gas	11151	Technology
CWMA	Central Weights and Measures	NGSC	NCWM Natural Gas Steering
CWMA	Association	NGSC	Committee
DGE	Diesel Gallon Equivalent	NTEP	National Type Evaluation Program
DLE	Dissel Liter Equivalent	OIML	International Organization of Legal
DLE	Diesel Liter Equivalent	OIIVIL	Metrology
DOT	Department of Transportation	OWM	Office of Weights and Measures
FALS	Fuels and Lubricants Subcommittee	RMFD	Retail Motor Fuel Dispenser
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration	S&T	Specifications and Tolerances
GGE	Gasoline Gallon Equivalent	SD	Secure Digital
GLE	Gasoline Liter Equivalent	SI	International System of Units
GMM	Grain Moisture Meter	SMA	Scale Manufactures Association
GPS		SWIMA	Southern Weights and Measures
GP5	Global Positioning System SWMA		Association
IEC	International Electrotechnical	тс	Technical Committee
IEC	Commission	IC	Technical Committee
LMD	Liquid Measuring Devices	USNWG	U.S. National Work Group
LNG	Liquefied Natural Gas	WIM	Weigh-in-Motion
			Western Weights and Measures
MMA	Meter Manufacturers Association	WWMA	Association

Table BGlossary of Acronyms and Terms

Details of All Items

(In order by Reference Key)

310 HANDBOOK 44 - GENERAL CODE

310-1 V G-S.1. Identification. – (Software)

Source:

This item originated from the NTEP Software Sector and first appeared on NCWM S&T Committee's 2007 agenda as Developing Item Part 1, Item 1. and in 2010 as Item 310-3.

Purpose:

Provide marking requirements that enable field verification of the appropriate version or revision for metrological software, including methods other than "permanently marked," for providing the required information.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44: G-S.1. Identification as follows:

G-S.1. Identification. – All equipment, except weights and separate parts necessary to the measurement process but not having any metrological effect, shall be clearly and permanently marked for the purposes of identification with the following information:

- (a) the name, initials, or trademark of the manufacturer or distributor;
- (b) a model identifier that positively identifies the pattern or design of the device;
 - (1) The model identifier shall be prefaced by the word "Model," "Type," or "Pattern." These terms may be followed by the word "Number" or an abbreviation of that word. The abbreviation for the word "Number" shall, as a minimum, begin with the letter "N" (e.g., No or No.). The abbreviation for the word "Model" shall be "Mod" or "Mod." Prefix lettering may be initial capitals, all capitals, or all lowercase.
 [Nonretroactive as of January 1, 2003] (Added 2000) (Amended 2001)
- (c) a nonrepetitive serial number, except for equipment with no moving or electronic component parts and not-built-for-purpose software-based software devices software;
 [Nonretroactive as of January 1, 1968]
 (Amended 2003)
 - (1) The serial number shall be prefaced by words, an abbreviation, or a symbol, that clearly identifies the number as the required serial number. [Nonretroactive as of January 1, 1986]
 - (2) Abbreviations for the word "Serial" shall, as a minimum, begin with the letter "S," and abbreviations for the word "Number" shall, as a minimum, begin with the letter "N" (e.g., S/N, SN, Ser. No., and S. No.).
 [Nonretroactive as of January 1, 2001]
- (d) the current software version or revision identifier for not-built-for-purpose software-based devices; manufactured as of January 1, 2004 and all software-based devices or equipment manufactured as of January 1, 2022; [Nonretroactive as of January 1, 2004]

(Added 2003) (Amended 2017)

- (1) The version or revision identifier shall be:
 - *i.* prefaced by words, an abbreviation, or a symbol, that clearly identifies the number as the required version or revision; [Nonretroactive as of January 1, 2007] (Added 2006)

Note: If the equipment is capable of displaying the version or revision identifier but is unable to meet the formatting requirement, through the NTEP type evaluation process, other options may be deemed acceptable and described in the CC. (Added 2017)

- ii. continuously displayed or be accessible via the display. Instructions for displaying the version or revision identifier shall be described in the CC. As an alternative, permanently marking the version or revision identifier shall be acceptable providing the device does not always have an integral interface to communicate the version or revision identifier.
 [Nonretroactive as of January 1, 2022] (Added 2017)
- (2) Abbreviations for the word "Version" shall, as a minimum, begin with the letter "V" and may be followed by the word "Number." Abbreviations for the word "Revision" shall, as a minimum, begin with the letter "R" and may be followed by the word "Number." The abbreviation for the word "Number" shall, as a minimum, begin with the letter "N" (e.g., No or No.). <u>Prefix lettering may be initial capitals, all capitals, or all lowercase.</u> [Nonretroactive as of January 1, 2007] (Added 2006) (<u>Amended 2017</u>)
- (e) a National Type Evaluation Program (NTEP) Certificate of Conformance (CC) number or a corresponding CC Addendum Number for devices that have a CC.
 - (1) The CC Number or a corresponding CC Addendum Number shall be prefaced by the terms "NTEP CC," "CC," or "Approval." These terms may be followed by the word "Number" or an abbreviation of that word. The abbreviation for the word "Number" shall, as a minimum, begin with the letter "N" (e.g., No or No.) [Nonretroactive as of January 1, 2003]

The required information shall be so located that it is readily observable without the necessity of the disassembly of a part requiring the use of any means separate from the device. (Amended 1985, 1991, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2003, and, 2006 and 2017)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A6.

CWMA Action: Item 310-1		
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):		
Russ Vires, representing the SMA commented they support the adoption of this item.		
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)		
Committee recommendation to the region:		
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda		
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda		
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)		
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (<i>To be developed by source</i>)		

Reasons for the committee recommendation:		
The only comments this committee received were in support of this item.		
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION		
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)		
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:		
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda		
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda		
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)		
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (<i>To be developed by source</i>)		
Unable to consider at this time (<i>Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below</i>)		
Regional Report to NCWM:		
Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports		
from your region on this item.		

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed and the comments received were in support of the item.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

310-2 V G-S.9. Metrologically Significant Software Updates

Source: NTEP Software Sector (2016)

Purpose:

Clarify that metrologically significant software shall be sealable.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 General Code as follows:

G-S.9. Metrologically Significant Software Updates <u>A software update that changes the metrologically significant software shall be considered a sealable</u> <u>event.</u> (Added 20XX)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A11.

CWMA Action: Item 310-2

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):Russ Vires, representing the SMA commented they support the adoption of this item.Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

The only comments this committee received were in support of this item.

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed and the comments received were in support of the item.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

320 SCALES

320-1 W S.1.1.3. Automated Batching Systems (See Related Item 360-3)

Source:

Richard Suiter Consulting (2016)

Purpose:

Assist weights and measures officials in determining the accuracy and correctness of batching system already in the market place. It will also assist NTEP in future evaluations of these systems.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Scales Code as follows:

<u>S.1.1.3</u> Automated batching Systems. – On an automated batching system making more than one draft, between drafts the system must return to a "center-of-zero" condition before a subsequent draft can begin. If the system fails to return to a "center-of-zero" condition the system shall interrupt the weighing sequence and shut down until the non-zero condition has been corrected.

[Nonretroactive as of January 1, 201X]

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A12.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

320-2 V S.5.4. Relationship of Load Cell Verification Interval to the Scale Division

Source:

Scale Manufacturers Association (2016)

Purpose:

Clarify the relationship of v_{min} with d with multiple independent load receivers.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Scales Code as follows:

S.5.4 Relationship of <u>Minimum</u> Load Cell Verification Interval Value to the Scale Division – The relationship of the value for the <u>minimum</u> load cell verification interval, v_{min} to the scale division, d, for a specific scale <u>installation</u> using NTEP <u>certified</u> load cells shall comply with the following formulae where N is the number of load cells in a single <u>independent¹ weighing/load-receiving element</u> scale (such as hopper, <u>or-railroad track or</u> vehicle scale weighing/load receiving elements);

(a)
$$v_{\min} \le \frac{d^*}{\sqrt{N}}$$
 for scales without lever systems; and
(b) $v_{\min} \le \frac{d^*}{\sqrt{N} \times (\text{scale multiple})}$ for scales with lever systems.

¹ Independent means with a weighing/load-receiving element not attached to adjacent elements and with its own A/D conversion circuitry and displayed weight.

[^{*}When the value of the scale division, d, is different from the verification scale division, e, for the scale, the value of e must be used in the formulae above.]

This requirement does not apply to complete weighing/load-receiving elements or scales, which satisfy all the following criteria:

- the complete weighing/load-receiving element or scale has been evaluated for compliance with T.N.8.1. Temperature under the NTEP;
- the complete weighing/load-receiving element or scale has received an NTEP Certificate of Conformance; and
- the complete weighing/load-receiving element or scale is equipped with an automatic zero-tracking mechanism which cannot be made inoperative in the normal weighing mode. (A test mode which permits the disabling of the automatic zero-tracking mechanism is permissible, provided the scale cannot function normally while in this mode.

[Nonretroactive as of January 1, 1994] (Added 1993) (Amended 1996 and 20XX)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A14.

CWMA Action: Item 320-2 Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings): Russ Vires, representing the SMA commented they support the adoption of this item. Eric Golden, represen

Russ Vires, representing the SMA commented they support the adoption of this item. Eric Golden, representing the SMA gave a presentation in support of this item.

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (*If different than agenda item*)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

The only comments this committee received were in support of this item.

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed and the comments received were in support of the item.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

320-3 V N.1.3.3.2. Prescribed Test Pattern and Test Loads for Livestock Scales with More Than Two Sections and Combination Vehicle/Livestock Scales <u>and</u> N.1.3.3.3. Prescribed Test Patterns and Test Loads for Two-Section Livestock Scales.

Source:

NIST Office of Weights and Measures (2016)

Purpose:

Eliminate inconsistencies in the shift test procedures for two-section livestock scales in the Scales Code of NIST Handbook 44.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Scales Code as follows:

N.1.3.3.2. Prescribed Test Pattern and Test Loads for Livestock Scales with More Than Two Sections and Combination Vehicle/Livestock Scales. – A minimum test load of 5 000 kg (10 000 lb) or one-half of the rated section capacity, whichever is less, shall be placed, as nearly as possible, successively over each main load support as shown in the diagram below. For livestock scales manufactured between January 1, 1989, and January 1, 2003, the required loading shall be no greater than one-half CLC. (Two-section livestock scales shall be tested consistent with N.1.3.7. All Other Scales Except Crane Scales, Hanging Scales, Hopper Scales, Wheel-Load Weighers, and Portable Axle-Load Weighers.)

Position 1	Position 2	Position 3
Position 6	Position 5	Position 4

N.1.3.3.3. Prescribed Test Patterns and Test Loads for Two-Section Livestock Scales. – A shift test shall be conducted using the following prescribed test loads and test patterns **provided**:, <u>When a single field standard</u> weight is used, the prescribed test load shall be applied centrally in the prescribed test pattern. When multiple field standard weights are used as the prescribed test load, the load shall be applied in a consistent pattern in the shift test positions throughout the test and applied in a manner that does not concentrate the load in a test pattern that is less than when that same load is a single field standard weight on the load-receiving element. **t**The shift test load does shall not exceed one-half the rated section capacity or one-half the rated concentrated load capacity whichever is applicable, using either:

(a) A one-half nominal capacity test load centered as nearly as possible, successively at the center of each quarter of the load-receiving element as shown in N.1.3.7. All Other Scales Except Crane Scales, Hanging Scales, Hopper Scales, Wheel-Load Weighers, and Portable Axle-Load Weighers Figure 1; or

(b) A one-quarter nominal capacity test load centered as nearly as possible, successively over each main load support as shown in N.1.3.7. All Other Scales Except Crane Scales, Hanging Scales, Hopper Scales, Wheel-Load Weighers, and Portable Axle-Load Weighers Figure 2.

(Added 2007) (Amended 20XX)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A19.

CWMA Action: Item 320-3

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings): Paul Lewis of Rice Lake commented extensively on this item. Mr. Lewis wanted clarity on what is the center of the prescribed test pattern, and also definition of how this is applied in a manner which does not constitute the stacking of the test weights.

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
 Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

The SMA supports the adoption of this item.

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

- Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)
- Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed and the comments received were in support of the item.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

322 AUTOMATIC BULK WEIGHING SYSTEMS

322-1 D A. Application, S Specifications, N. Notes, UR. User Requirements

Source:

Kansas (2016)

Purpose:

Modernize the ABWS code to more fully the reflect the types of systems in use and technology available while still maintaining the safeguards of the current code.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Automatic Bulk Weighing Systems Code as follows:

A. Application

A.1. General. – This code applies to automatic bulk-weighing systems, that is, weighing systems capable of adapted to the automatic automatically weighing of a commodity in successive drafts of a bulk commodity without human intervention.predetermined amounts automatically recording the no-load and loaded weight values and accumulating the net weight of each draft.

(Amended 1987)

S. Specifications

S.1. Design of Indicating and Recording Elements and Recorded Representations.

S.1.1. Zero Indication. — <u>Provisions An Automatic Bulk Weighing System (ABWS)</u> shall be made to indicate and record a no-load reference value and, if the no-load reference value is a zero value indication, to indicate and record an out-of-balance condition on both sides of zero.

S.1.5. Recording Sequence. – **Provision** <u>An ABWS</u> shall be made so that <u>indicate</u> all weight values are indicated until the completion of the recording of the indicated value is completed</u>.

S.1.6. Provision for Sealing Adjustable Components on Electronic Devices. – Provision shall be made for applying a security seal in a manner that requires the security seal to be broken before an

adjustment can be made to any component affecting the performance of the device.

S.1.7 No Load Reference Values – An ABWS shall indicate and record weight values with no load in the load-receiving element. No load reference values must be recorded at a point in time after product flow from the load receiving element is stopped and before product flow into the load receiving element has started. Systems may be designed to stop operating if a no load reference value falls outside of user designated parameters. If this feature is designed into the system then the no load reference value indicated when the system is stopped must be recorded, an alarm must activate, weighing must be inhibited, and some type of human intervention must be required to restart the system after it is stopped.

<u>S.1.8</u> Loaded Weight Values – An ABWS shall indicate and record loaded weight values for each weighment.

S.1.9 Net Weight Values – An ABWS shall calculate and record net weight for each weighment.

<u>S.1.10</u> Net Weight Accumulation – An ABWS shall automatically accumulate and record the sum of all net weight values for each weighing process.

S.3. Interlocks and <u>Gate ControlProduct Flow Control</u>.

S.3.1. Gate PositionProduct Flow Control. –Provision An ABWS shall be made to-clearly indicate to the operator product flow status the position of the gates leading directly to and from the –weigh hopperload receiving element. Many types of equipment can be used to control the flow of product into and out of a load receiving element automatically including but not limited to gates, conveyors, augers, robots, pipes, tubes, elevators, buckets, etc.

S.3.2. Interlocks. – Each automatic bulk weighing system shall have operating interlocks to provide for the following:

(a) Product cannot be cycled and weighed if the weight recording element is disconnected or subjected to a power loss.

(b) The recording element <u>can only eannot print record</u> a weight if <u>either of the gates</u> <u>equipment controlling product flow to or from the load-receiving element is in a condition</u> <u>that allows product to enter or leave the load receiving element.</u> leading directly to or from the weigh hopper is open.

S.3.3. Overfill <u>SensorAnd Interference Detection</u>.

(a) <u>The system must have a means to detect when Tthe weigh hopperload-receiving</u> <u>element</u> <u>shall be equipped with anis</u> overfill<u>ed. When an overfill condition exists</u> <u>sensor</u> <u>which will cause the feedproduct flow to the load receiving element must be stopped, -gate to</u> <u>elose, an alarm must activate, activate an alarm, and inhibit</u> weighing <u>must be inhibited</u> until the overfill condition has been corrected, <u>and some type of human intervention must be required</u> <u>to restart the system. An alarm could be many things including a flashing light, siren, horn, flashing computer screen, etc. The intent of an alarm is to make the operator aware there is <u>a problem which needs corrected.</u> (Added 1993)</u>

(b) If the system is equipped with aDownstream storage devices and other equipment, permanent or temporary, lower garner or surge bin, that garner shall also which have the potential to interfere with weighment when overfilled or not functioning properly must have a means to prevent interference. When interference exist the system must stop, an alarm must activate, product flow must stop, weighing must be inhibited until the interference has been corrected, and some type of human intervention is required to restart the system. be equipped with an overfill sensor which will cause the gate of the weigh hopper to remain open, activate an alarm, and inhibit weighing until the overfill condition has been corrected.

[Nonretroactive as of January 1, 1998] (Amended 1997)

N. Notes

N.1. Testing Procedures.

N.1.1. Test Weights. – The increasing load test shall be conducted using test weights equal to at least 10 % of the capacity of the system:

(a) on automatic **grain**-bulk-weighing systems installed after January 1, 1984 used to weigh grain; and

UR. User Requirements

UR.4. System Modification. – <u>Components of Tt</u>he weighing system, shall not be modified except when the modification has been approved by a competent engineering authority, preferably that of the engineering department of the manufacturer of the scale, and the official with statutory authority having jurisdiction over the scale.

(Amended 1991)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A20.

CWMA Action: Item 322-1
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):
Doug Musick from Kansas Weights & Measures explained the reasons for the proposed change. Mr. Musick also
asked to change S.3.2. (b) to strike the word "allows" and replace it with "prevents".
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)
Committee recommendation to the region:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
\boxtimes Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Reasons for the committee recommendation:
The comments received were in support of this item.
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Unable to consider at this time (<i>Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below</i>)
Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. **This will replace any previous reports**

from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item has merit and the comments received were in support of it but it is in need of development.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

322-2 V N.1. Testing Procedures. and T. Tolerances

Source:

Oregon (2015)

Purpose:

Modify the test method to reflect as-used dynamic conditions.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Automatic Bulk Weighing Systems Code as follows:

N.1.4. Material Tests. - Procedure

- 1. <u>Start up the automatic bulk weighting system, including the surrounding equipment which is</u> normally in use when instrument is itself in use.
- 2. <u>Run the system for five weigh cycles (or more if necessary) to ensure normal working conditions.</u>
- 3. Halt the automatic bulk weighting system and record the indication of total mass.
- 4. <u>Run the weighing for not less than five cycles at maximum capacity, minimum capacity and one close to minimum totalized load.</u>
- 5. Halt the automatic bulk weighing system and record the indication of total mass after each run.
- 6. <u>Determine the material test error from the difference between the indicated totalized mass and</u> the total mass of material as determined on the reference scale.

Either pass a quantity of pre-weighed material through the Automatic Bulk Weighing system in a manner as similar as feasible to actual loading conditions, or weigh all material that has passed through the Automatic Bulk Weighing System. Means for weighing the material test load will depend on the capacity of the system and availability of a suitable reference scale for the test. To assure that the test load is accurately weighed and determined, the following precautions shall be observed:

- (a) <u>The containers, whether railroad cars, trucks, or boxes, must not leak, and shall not be</u> <u>overloaded to the point that material will be lost.</u>
- (b) <u>The actual empty or tare weight of the containers shall be determined at the time of the test.</u> Stenciled tare weight of railway cars, trucks or boxes shall not be used. Gross and tare weights shall be determined on the same scale.
- (c) When a pre-weighed test load is passed through the scale, the loading system shall be examined before and after the test to assure that the system is empty and that only the material of the test load has passed through the scale.

(d) <u>Where practicable, a reference scale should be tested within 24 hours preceding the determination of the weight of the test load used for a Automatic Bulk Weighing System material test.</u>

A reference scale which is not "as found" within maintenance tolerance should have its accuracy re-verified after the Automatic Bulk Weighing System test with a suitable known weight load if the "as found" error of the Automatic Bulk Weighing System material test exceeds maintenance tolerance values.*

- (e) If any suitable known weight load other than a certified test weight load is used for re-verification of the reference scale accuracy, its weight shall be determined on the reference scale after the reference scale certification and before commencing the Automatic Bulk Weighing System material test.*
- (f) <u>The test shall not be conducted if the weight of the test load has been affected by environmental conditions.</u>

<u>*Note: Even if the reference scale is within maintenance tolerance it may require adjusting to be</u> <u>able to meet paragraph N.1.4.1. Accuracy of Material.</u>

N.1.4.1. Accuracy of Material. – The quantity of material used to conduct a material test shall be weighed on a reference scale to an accuracy within 1/3 of the smallest tolerance to be applied. Scales typically used for this purpose include Class III and III L scales or a scale without a class designation as described in Handbook 44, Section 2.20., Table T.1.1. Tolerances for Unmarked Scales.

<u>N.1.4.2.</u> Associated Equipment. – All associated equipment in local vicinity shall be in operation at time of test. This would include items such as conveyors; tote dumps, cleaning drums, rock separators, ect.

N.1.4. <u>N.1.5.</u> **Zero-Balance or No-Load Reference Value Change Test.** – A test for change of zero-balance or no-load reference value shall be conducted on all scales after the removal of any test load. The change shall not be more than the minimum tolerance applicable.

N.1.5. <u>N.1.6.</u> Discrimination Test. – A discrimination test shall be conducted on all automatic indicating scales with the weighing device in equilibrium at zero-load and at maximum test load, and under controlled conditions in which environmental factors are reduced to the extent that they will not affect the results obtained.

[Nonretroactive as of January 1, 1986]

N.1.5.1. <u>N.1.6.1.</u> **Digital Device.** – On a digital device, this test is conducted from just below the lower edge of the zone of uncertainty for increasing-load tests, or from just above the upper edge of the zone of uncertainty for decreasing-load tests.

(Added 1987)

T.3. Basic Tolerance Values.

T.3.2. For Systems Used to Weigh Grain. – The basic maintenance tolerance shall be 0.1 % <u>and apply to</u> <u>both the</u> test load and <u>material test</u>.

T.3.3. For All Other Systems. – The basic maintenance tolerance shall be 0.2 % <u>and apply to both the test</u> load <u>and material test</u>.

(Amended 1986)

T.5. Repeatability.

<u>**T.5.1. Static Test Load**</u> – The results obtained by several weighings of the same load under reasonably static test conditions tests shall agree within the absolute value of the maintenance tolerance for that load, and shall be within applicable tolerances.

(Added 1986)

<u>T.5.2. Material Test</u> – <u>variation in the values obtained during the conduct of material tests shall agree</u> within the absolute value of the maintenance tolerance for that load, and shall be within applicable tolerances.

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A24.

CWMA Action: Item 322-2

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):

Russ Vires of the SMA stated the SMA can support this item if the following three issues discussed in the S & T Report from the 2016 Interim Meeting are incorporated in the proposal before the July Annual Meeting: 1. Reorganize the requirements in the proposal so they can be more easily followed. 2. Improve the understanding of the test procedure; and 3. Recognize that a material test would be optional and conducted at the discretion of the official.

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (*If different than agenda item*)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

The S & T Committee recommends this as a voting item with the understanding these changes will be made prior to the 2016 Annual Meeting.

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (*In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM*)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

If the following three issues discussed in the S & T Report from the 2016 NCWM Interim Meeting are incorporated in the proposal before the July Annual Meeting the CWMA will consider this item fully developed and will support it as a voting item:

1. Reorganize the requirements in the proposal so they can be more easily followed. **2.** Improve the understanding of the test procedure; and **3.** Recognize that a material test would be optional and conducted at the discretion of the official.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

325 WEIGH-IN-MOTION SYSTEMS USED FOR VEHICLE ENFORCEMENT SCREENING

325-1 D A. Application. and Sections Throughout the Code to Address Commercial and Law Enforcement Applications

Source:

Rinstrum, Inc. and Right Weigh Innovations (2016)

Purpose:

To recognize a higher accuracy class and appropriate requirements in the Weighing-In-Motion Tentative Code to add commercial and law enforcement applications. In particular, scales meeting the higher accuracy classes would be permitted for use in commercial applications and for highway law enforcement.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44, Weigh-In-Motion Systems Tentative Code as follows:

A.1. General. - This code applies to systems used to weigh vehicles, while in motion,.

- (a) For the purpose of screening and sorting the vehicles based on the vehicle weight to determine if a static weighment is necessary.
- (b) For commercial legal for trade applications.
- (c) For direct law enforcement applications.

A.2. Axle-Load Scales – The requirements for axle-load scales apply to such scales in official use for the enforcement of traffic and highway laws or for the collection of statistical information by government agencies and axle-load scales that meet the requirements of the Tentative Code for commercial use.

A.2 3. The code does not apply to weighing systems intended only for the collection of statistical traffic data.

A.3 <u>4.</u>Additional Code Requirements. – In addition to the requirements of this code, Weigh-In-Motion Screening Systems shall meet the requirements of Section 1.10. General Code.

S. Specifications

S.1. Design of Indicating and Recording Elements and of Recorded Representations.

S.1.1. Ready Indication. – The system shall provide a means of verifying that the system is operational and ready for use.

S.1.2. Value of System Division Units. – The value of a system division "d" expressed in a unit of weight shall be equal to:

(a) 1, 2, or 5; or

(b) a decimal multiple or submultiple of 1, 2, or 5.

Examples: divisions may be 10, 20, 50, 100; or 0.01, 0.02, 0.05; or 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, etc.

S.1.2.1. Units of Measure. – The system shall indicate weight values using only a single unit of measure.

S.1.3. Maximum Value of Division Size. – The value of the system division "d" for a Class A, Weight In-Motion System shall not be greater than 50 kg (100 lb).

- (a) <u>The value of the system division "d" for a Class A, Weigh-In-Motion System shall not be</u> greater than 50 kg (100 lb).
- (b) <u>The value of the system division for "d" for a Class B or III L, Weigh-In-Motion System</u> shall not be greater than 10kg (20lb).

S.1.4. Value of Other Units of Measure.

S.1.4.1. Speed. – Vehicle speeds shall be measured in miles per hour or kilometers per hour.

S.1.4.2. Axle-Spacing (Length). – <u>If applicable</u> \underline{Tt} he center-to-center distance between any two successive axles shall be measured in:

- (a) feet and inches;
- (b) feet and decimal submultiples of a foot; or
- (c) meters and decimal submultiples of a meter.

S.1.4.3. Vehicle Length. – If the system is capable of measuring the overall length of the vehicle, the length of the vehicle shall be measured in feet and/or inches, or meters.

S.1.5. Capacity Indication. – An indicating or recording element shall not display nor record any values greater than 105% of the specified capacity of the load receiving element.

S.1.6. Identification of a Fault. – Fault conditions shall be presented to the operator in a clear and unambiguous means. The following fault conditions shall be identified:

- (a) Vehicle speed is below the minimum or above the maximum speed as specified.
- (b) The maximum number of vehicle axles as specified has been exceeded.
- (c) A change in vehicle speed greater than that specified has been detected.

S.1.7. Recorded Representations.

S.1.7.1. Values to be Recorded. – At a minimum, the following values shall be printed and/or stored electronically for each vehicle weighment:

(a) transaction identification number;

(b) lane identification (required if more than one lane at the site has the ability to weigh a vehicle in-motion);

- (c) vehicle speed;
- (d) number of axles;
- (e) weight of each axle;
- (f) **if applicable** identification and weight of axles groups;
- (g) **if applicable** axle spacing;
- (h) total vehicle weight;
- (i) all fault conditions that occurred during the weighing of the vehicle;
- (j) **<u>if applicable</u>** violations, as identified in paragraph S.2.1., that occurred during the
- weighing of the vehicle; and
- (k) time & date.

S.1.8. Value of the Indicated and Recorded System Division. – The value of the system's division "(d)", as recorded, shall be the same as the division value indicated.

S.2. System Design Requirements.

S.2.1. Violation Parameters. – <u>If applicable</u>, $\underline{T}_{\underline{t}}$ the instrument shall be capable of accepting user entered violation parameters for the following items:

- (a) single axle weight limit;(b) axle group weight limit;(c) gross vehicle weight limit; and
- (d) bridge formula maximum.

The instrument shall display and or record violation conditions when these parameters have been exceeded.

S.3. Design of Weighing Elements.

S.3.1. Multiple Load-Receiving Elements. –An instrument with a single indicating or recording element, or a combination indicating-recording element, that is coupled to two or more load-receiving elements with independent weighing systems, shall be provided with means to prohibit the activation of any load-receiving element (or elements) not in use, and shall be provided with automatic means to indicate clearly and definitely which load receiving element (or elements) is in use.

S.4. Design of Weighing Devices, Accuracy Class.

S.4.1. Designation of Accuracy. – WIM Systems meeting the requirements of this code shall be designated as accuracy Class A.

- (a) <u>WIM Systems for screening and sorting, meeting the requirements of this code shall be</u> designated as accuracy Class A.
- (b) <u>WIM Systems for commercial and law enforcement applications, meeting the requirements</u> of this code shall be designated.
 - (1) <u>Class III L for the dynamic gross vehicle weight calculations</u>
 - (2) <u>Class B for dynamic law enforcement applications</u>

Note: This does not preclude higher <u>other</u> accuracy classes from being proposed and added to this Code in the future when it can be demonstrated that WIM systems grouped within those accuracy classes can achieve the higher-level of accuracy specified for those devices.

S.5. Marking Requirements. – In addition to the marking requirements in G-S.1. Identification (except G.S.1.(e)), the system shall be marked with the following information:

- (a) Accuracy Class;
- (b) Value of the System Division "d";
- (c) Operational Temperature Limits;
- (d) Number of Instrumented Lanes (not required if only one lane is instrumented.);
- (e) Minimum and Maximum Vehicle Speed;
- (f) Maximum Number of Axles per Vehicle;
- (g) Maximum Change in Vehicle Speed during Weighment; and
- (h) Minimum and Maximum Load.

S.5.1. Location of Marking Information. – The marking information required in G-S.1. of the General Code and S.5. shall be visible after installation. The information shall be marked on the system or recalled from an information screen.

N. Notes

N.1. Test Procedures.

N.1.1. Selection of Test Vehicles. – All dynamic testing associated with the procedures described in each of the subparagraphs of N.1.5 shall be performed with a minimum of two test vehicles.

- (a) The first test vehicle may be a two axle, six tire, single unit truck; that is, a vehicle with two axles with the rear axle having dual wheels. The vehicle shall have a maximum minimum Gross Vehicle Weight of 10,000 lbs.
- (b) The second test vehicle shall be a five axle, single trailer truck with a maximum Gross Vehicle Weight of 80,000 lbs.

Note: Consideration should be made for testing the systems using vehicles which are typical to the systems daily operation.

N.1.1.1. Weighing of Test Vehicles. – All test vehicles shall be weighed on a reference scale before being used to conduct the dynamic tests.

N.1.1.2. Determining Reference Weights for Axle, Axle Groups and Gross Vehicle Weight. – The reference weights shall be the average weight value of a minimum of three static weighments of all single axle, axle groups and gross vehicle weight.

Note: The axles within an axle group **weighed only as an axle group** are not considered single axles.

N.1.2. Test Loads.

N.1.2.1. Static Test Loads. - All static test loads shall use certified test weights

N.1.2.2. Dynamic Test Loads. – Test vehicles used for dynamic testing shall be loaded to 85 to 95% of their legal maximum Gross Vehicle Weight <u>or as typical in normal use</u>. The "load" shall be non-shifting and shall be positioned to present as close as possible, an equal side-to-side load.

N.1.3. Reference Scale. Each reference vehicle shall be weighed statically on a multiple platform vehicle scale comprised of three individual weighing/load receiving elements, each an independent scale. The three individual weighing/load receiving elements shall be of such dimension and spacing to facilitate 1) the single draft weighing of all reference test vehicles, and 2) the simultaneous weighing of each single axle and axle group of the reference test vehicles on different individual elements of the scale; gross vehicle weight determined by summing the values of the different reference axle and reference axle groups of a test vehicle. The scale shall be tested immediately prior to using it to establish reference test loads and in no case more than 24 hours prior. To qualify for use as a suitable reference scale, it must meet NIST Handbook 44, Class III L maintenance tolerances.

<u>N.1.3. Reference Scale. – Each reference vehicle shall be weighed statically on a certified scale to</u> <u>determine the Gross Vehicle Weight. To qualify for use as a suitable reference scale, it must meet</u> <u>NIST Handbook 44, Class III L maintenance tolerances. The scale shall be tested immediately prior</u> to using it to establish reference test loads and in no case more than 24 hours prior.

(a) For law enforcement applications the reference vehicle shall be weighed on a certified multiple platform vehicle scale comprised of three individual weighing/load-receiving elements, each an independent scale. The three individual weighing/load receiving elements shall be of such dimension and spacing to facilitate 1) the single-draft weighing of all reference test vehicles, and 2) the simultaneous weighing of each single axle and axle group of the reference test vehicles on different individual elements of the scale; gross vehicle weight determined by summing the values of the different reference axle and reference axle groups of a test vehicle.

Note: If the distance to an off-site reference scale will significantly impact the accuracy of the reference weights then the scale under test may be used as the reference scale.

(b) For commercial applications for the gross vehicle weight calculations only, the reference vehicle shall be weighed statically on either the same scale, a certified multiple platform vehicle scale or a single platform vehicle scale with sufficient length to accommodate single draft weighing of the reference vehicle

N.1.3.1. Location of a Reference Scale. – The location of the reference scale must be considered as vehicle weights

will change due to fuel consumption.

N.1.4. Test Speeds. – All dynamic tests shall be conducted within 20% **above the rated minimum and 20%** below **the rated maximum speed limits.**

N.1.5. Test Procedures. For law enforcement scales.

N.1.5.1. Static Test Procedures. - For Type Approval Evaluation and initial verification the axle-load scale designed for commercial use shall be tested statically to Handbook 44 Class III Tolerances. For subsequent verification the scale will be tested to Handbook 44 Class III L maintenance tolerances.

N.1.5.42. Dynamic Load Test. – The dynamic test shall be conducted using the test vehicles defined in N.1.1. The test shall consist of a minimum of 20 runs for each test vehicle at the speed as stated in N.1.4.

At the conclusion of the dynamic test there will be a minimum of 20 weight readings for each single axle, axle group and gross vehicle weight of the test vehicle. The tolerance for each weight reading shall be based on the percentage values specified in Table T.2.2.

N.1.5.23. Vehicle Position Test. – During the conduct of the dynamic testing ensure that the vehicle stays within the defined roadway along the width of the sensor. The test shall be conducted with 10 runs with the vehicle centered along the width of the sensor, 5 runs with the vehicle on the right side along the width of the sensor, and 5 runs with the vehicle on the left side along the width of the sensor. Only gross vehicle weight is used for this test and the tolerance for each weighment shall be based on the tolerance value specified in T.2.3.

N.1.5.34. **Axle Spacing Test**. – The axle spacing test is a review of the displayed and/or recorded axle spacing distance of the test vehicles. The tolerance value for each distance shall be based on the tolerance value specified in T.2.4.

N.1.6. Test Procedure for Commercial Gross Vehicle Weight Calculation Scales.

<u>N.1.6.1. As-Used Test Procedures. – A weighing system shall be tested in a manner that represents the normal method of operation.</u>

N.1.6.2. Static Test Procedures. - For Type Approval Evaluation and initial verification the axle-load scale designed for commercial use shall be tested statically to Handbook 44 Class III Tolerances. For subsequent verification the scale will be tested to Handbook 44 Class III L maintenance tolerances.

<u>N.1.6.3.</u> Dynamic Test. – The dynamic test shall be conducted using the test vehicles defined in N.1.1. The test shall consist of a minimum of 5 runs for each test vehicle at the speed as stated in N.1.4.

At the conclusion of the dynamic test there will be a minimum of 5 weight readings for the gross vehicle weight of the test vehicle. The tolerance for each weight reading shall be based on Handbook 44 Class III L maintenance tolerances.

T. Tolerances

T.1. Principles.

T.1.1. Design. – The tolerance for a weigh-in-motion system is a performance requirement independent of the design principle used.

T.2. Tolerance Values for Accuracy Class A.

T.2.1. To Tests Involving Digital Indications or Representations – To the tolerances that would otherwise be applied in paragraphs T.2.2 and T.2.3, there shall be added an amount equal to one-half the value of the scale division to account for the uncertainty of digital rounding.

T.2.2. Tolerance Values for Dynamic Load Tests <u>for Screening and Sorting devices.</u> – The tolerance values applicable during dynamic load testing are as specified in Table T.2.2

Table T.2.2. – Tolerance for Accuracy Class A		
Tolerance as a Percentage of Applied Test Load		
±20%		
$\pm 15\%$		
±10%		

* No more than 5% of the weighments in each of the load description subgroups shown in this table shall exceed the applicable tolerance.

T.2.3. Tolerance Value for Vehicle Position Test. – The tolerance value applied to each gross vehicle weighment is $\pm 10\%$ of the applied test load.

T.2.4. Tolerance Value for Axle Spacing. – The tolerance value applied to each axle spacing measurement shall be ± 0.15 meter (0.5 feet).

T.3. Tolerance Values for Dynamic Weighing Systems Used Commercially and for Direct Law Enforcement. -The tolerance values applicable during dynamic load testing are as specified in Table T.2.2

Table T.3. – Tolerance for Commercial and Law Enforcement Dynamic Scales.		
Load Description	Tolerance as a Percentage of Applied Test Load	
Axle Load	<u>±0.5%</u>	

Axle Group Load	<u>±1%</u>
Gross Vehicle Weight	Class III L Maintenance Tolerance

T.3.4. Influence Factors. – The following factors are applicable to tests conducted under controlled conditions only.

T.34.1. Temperature. – Systems shall satisfy the tolerance requirements under all operating temperature unless a limited operating temperature range is specified by the manufacturer.

T.4<u>5</u>. Radio Frequency Interference (RFI) and Other Electromagnetic Interference Susceptibility. – The difference between the weight indication due to the disturbance and the weight indication without the disturbance shall not exceed the tolerance value as stated in Table T.2.2. <u>or Table T.3 as applicable.</u>

UR. USER REQUIREMENTS

UR.1. Selection Requirements. – Equipment shall be suitable for the service in which it is used with respect to elements of its design, including but not limited to, its capacity, number of scale divisions, value of the scale division or verification scale division and minimum capacity.

UR.1.1. General

The typical class or type of device for particular weighing applications is shown in Table 1. Typical Class or Type of Device for Weighing Applications.

Table 1. Typical Class or type of Device for Weighing Applications	
Class	Weighing Application
Α	Screening and sorting of vehicles based on axle, axle group and gross vehicle weight.
<u>B</u>	Dynamic law enforcement axle, axle group and gross vehicle weight.
<u>III L</u>	Commercial and direct law enforcement

UR.2. User Location Conditions and Maintenance. – The system shall be installed and maintained as defined in the manufacturer's recommendation.

UR.2.1. System Modification. – The dimensions (e.g., length, width, thickness, etc.) of the load receiving element of a system shall not be changed beyond the manufacturer's specifications, nor shall the capacity of a scale be increased beyond its design capacity by replacing or modifying the original primary indicating or recording element with one of a higher capacity, except when the modification has been approved by a competent engineering authority, preferably that of the engineering department of the manufacturer of the system, and by the weights and measures authority having jurisdiction over the system.

UR.2.2. Foundation, Supports, and Clearance. – The foundation and supports shall be such as to provide strength, rigidity, and permanence of all components.

On load-receiving elements which use moving parts for determining the load value, clearance shall be provided around all live parts to the extent that no contacts may result when the load-receiving element is empty, nor throughout the weighing range of the system.

UR.2.3. Access to Weighing Elements. – If necessary, adequate provision shall be made for inspection and maintenance of the weighing elements.

<u>UR.2.4.</u> Axle-Load Scales Approaches. – At each end of an axle-load scale there shall be a straight, paved, and level approach in the same plane as the platform. The approaches shall be the same width as the platform and of sufficient length to insure the level positioning of vehicles on the approaches throughout the weighing process.

UR.3. Maximum Load. – A system shall not be used to weigh a load of more than the marked maximum load of the system.

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A30.

CWMA Action: Item 325-1
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):
Russ Vires of the SMA said the SMA takes no position on this item at this time, and looks forward to recommendations from the newly formed Weigh in Motion Task Group. There was a presentation from John Lawn of Rinstrum Inc. on their WIM device. Julie Quinn had concerns over whether the scale could be used statically.
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)
Committee recommendation to the region: Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda Information Item on the NCWM Agenda Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM) Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Reasons for the committee recommendation:
We believe this item has merit and support it's further development.
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status: Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda Information Item on the NCWM Agenda Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM) Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source) Unable to consider at this time (Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below)
Regional Report to NCWM: Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item. The CWMA feels this item has merit and the comments received were in support of it but it is in need of development.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

330 LIQUID MEASURING DEVICES

330-1 V S.1.6.3. Return to Zero (See Related Items 331-1 and 332-1)

Source: Maryland (2016)

Purpose:

Prohibit operation of the reset mechanism during delivery.

Item under Discussion:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Liquid Measuring Devices Code as follows:

S.1.6.3. Return to Zero.

(a) The primary indicating elements, and primary recording elements if the device is equipped to record, shall be readily returnable to a definite zero indication. However, a key-lock operated or other selfoperated device may be equipped with cumulative indicating or recording elements, provided that it is also equipped with a zero-return indicating element.

It shall not be possible to return primary indicating elements, or primary recording elements beyond the correct zero position.

(c) Primary indicating elements shall not be resettable to zero during a delivery. (Amended 1972 and 20XX)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A31.

CWMA Action: Item 330-1 Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings): No comments were received on this item. Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item) Committee recommendation to the region: Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda Information Item on the NCWM Agenda Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM) Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source) Reasons for the committee recommendation: We received no opposing comments. COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

- Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)
- Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

330-2 V S.X.X Card Operated Retail Motor Fuel Devices

Source: North Carolina (2016)

Purpose:

To clarify justification of testing 3 minute time out for credit card operated RMFD.

Item under Discussion:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Liquid Measuring Devices Code as follows:

S.X.X Pay-At-Pump Retail Motor-Fuel Devices. – Once a device has been authorized, it must de-authorize within two minutes if not activated. Re-authorization of the device must be performed before any product can be dispensed. If the time limit to de-authorize the device is programmable, it shall not accept an entry greater than two minutes.

[Nonretroactive as of January 1, 2017]

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A33.

CWMA Action: Item 330-2

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):

There were no comments on this item.

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

There were no opposing comments on this item.

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (*If different than regional committee recommendation*)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

- Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)
- Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

330-3 V N.4.5 Verification of Linearization Factors.

Source:

Minnesota Weights and Measures Division (2014)

Purpose:

To update Handbook 44 to reflect the technological changes in registers for liquid measuring devices and to alert Weights & Measures officials to the fact that error in start-up and shut-down delivery quantities can introduce linear errors in the calibration at normal flow rates; these errors increase the further the delivered quantity deviates from the prover size used at calibration.

Item Under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Liquid Measuring Devices Code by adding the following:

N.4.5. Verification of Linearization Factors. - All enabled linearization factors shall be verified. The verification of enabled linearization factors shall be done through physical testing, or a combination of physical testing and empirical analysis at the discretion of the official with statutory authority.

UR.4. Maintenance Requirements

UR.4.1. Use of Adjustments. - Whenever a device is adjusted, all enabled linearization factors shall be verified to determine that the errors are in tolerance and any adjustments which are made, shall be made so as to bring performance errors as close as practicable to zero value. The verification of enabled linearization factors shall be done through physical testing, or a combination of physical testing and empirical analysis at the discretion of the official with statutory authority.

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A34.

|--|

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings): We heard support from both regulators and industry on this item.

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

We heard no opposing comments on this item.

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed and the comments received were in support of the item.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

330-4 D Recognized the Use of Digital Density Meters

Source:

Missouri (2016)

Purpose:

Allow the use of digital density meters for inspections of meter for viscous fluids such as motor oils, diesel exhaust fluid (DEF) and antifreeze.

Item under Discussion:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Liquid Measuring Devices Code as follows:

Develop provisions in various LMD Codes of Handbook 44 that would recognize the use of digital density meters in lieu of volumetric provers, or the use of flasks and thermometers in the case of gravimetric testing) when testing meters used to dispense certain viscous fluids such as motor oil, DEF, antifreeze, syrups, etc..

"Digital density meters may be a solution for testing motor oil, DEF and anti-freeze meters."

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A40.

CWMA Action: Item 330-4

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):

The submitter suggested this item would be more appropriately included in Handbook 133

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (*If different than agenda item*)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

Our recommendation is to withdraw this item. This item was included on the L & R agenda and should be withdrawn from S & T.

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

Uvoting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)
- Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

This item was included on the L & R agenda and should be withdrawn from S & T.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

331 VEHICLE-TANK METERS

331-1 V S.1.1.5. Return to Zero, S.1.1.6. Initial Zero Indication – Electronic Devices (See Related Items 330-1 and 332-1)

Source:

Maryland (2016)

Purpose:

Ensure that a VTM register starts on zero upon initial authorization by following the manufacturer's instructions.

Item Under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Vehicle Tank Meter Code as follows:

S.1.1.5. Return to Zero. – Primary indicating elements shall be readily returnable to a definite zero indication. Means shall be provided to prevent the return of primary indicating elements, and of primary recording elements if these are returnable to zero, beyond their correct zero position. <u>Primary indicating</u> elements shall not be resettable to zero during a delivery.

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A41.

CWMA Action: Item 331-1
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):
No comments were received on this item.
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)
Committee recommendation to the region:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Reasons for the committee recommendation:
We received no opposing comments.
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION
Final undated or revised proposal from the region: (If different then regional committee recommendation)
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status: ∑ Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda ☐ Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status: \[\] Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda Information Item on the NCWM Agenda Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status: Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda Information Item on the NCWM Agenda Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM) Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status: \[\] Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda Information Item on the NCWM Agenda Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status: Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda Information Item on the NCWM Agenda Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM) Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source) Unable to consider at this time (Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below)
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status: \[\] Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda Information Item on the NCWM Agenda Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM) Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source) Unable to consider at this time (Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below) Regional Report to NCWM:
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status: \[\] Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda Information Item on the NCWM Agenda Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM) Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source) Unable to consider at this time (Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below) Regional Report to NCWM: Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status: \[\] Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda Information Item on the NCWM Agenda Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM) Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source) Unable to consider at this time (Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below) Regional Report to NCWM:
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status: \[\] Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda \[information Item on the NCWM Agenda \[information Item on the NCWM Agenda \[Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM) \[Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source) \[Unable to consider at this time (Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below) Regional Report to NCWM: Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status: \[\] Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda \[Information Item on the NCWM Agenda \[Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM) Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source) Unable to consider at this time (Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below) Regional Report to NCWM: Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

331-2 V Table S.2.2. Categories of Sealing and Methods of Sealing (See Related Items 332-4, 334-1, 335-1, 337-1, 338-1 and 339-1)

Source:

Gilbarco, Inc. (2016)

Purpose:

Allow a Category 3 event logger to have an electronic means to transfer the event logger information..

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Vehicle Tank Meter Code as follows:

Table S.2.2. Categories of Device and Methods of Sealing		
Categories of Device	Methods of Sealing	
<i>Category 1:</i> No remote configuration capability.	Seal by physical seal or two event counters: one for calibration parameters and one for configuration parameters.	
Category 2: Remote configuration capability, but access is controlled by physical hardware. The device shall clearly indicate that it is in the remote configuration mode and record such message if capable of printing in this mode or shall not operate while in this mode.	The hardware enabling access for remote communication must be on-site. The hardware must be sealed using a physical seal or an event counter for calibration parameters and an event counter for configuration parameters. The event counters may be located either at the individual measuring device or at the system controller; however, an adequate number of counters must be provided to monitor the calibration and configuration parameters of the individual devices at a location. If the counters are located in the system controller rather than at the individual device, means must be provided to generate a hard copy of the information through an on-site device.	
Category 3: Remote configuration capability access may be unlimited or controlled through a software switch (e.g., password). The device shall clearly indicate that it is in the remote configuration mode and record such message if capable of printing in this mode or shall not operate while in this mode.	An event logger is required in the device; it must include an event counter (000 to 999), the parameter ID, the date and time of the change, and the new value of the parameter. A printed copy of the information must be available <u>on demand</u> through the device or through another on-site device. <u>The information may also be</u> <u>available electronically</u> . The event logger shall have a capacity to retain records equal to 10 times the number of sealable parameters in the device, but not more than 1000 records are required. (Note: Does not require 1000 changes to be stored for each parameter.)	

[Nonretroactive as of January 1, 1995] (Table Added 2006) (Amended 20XX)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A42.

CWMA Action: Item 331-2
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):
No comments were received on this item.
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)
Committee recommendation to the region:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (<i>To be developed by source</i>)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

There were no opposing comments

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

331-3 D S.3.7. Manifold Hose Flush System

Source:

New York (2016)

Purpose:

Recognize the use of hose flush systems in the HB 44 VTM code.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Vehicle Tank Meter Code as follows:

<u>S.3.7. Manifold Hose Flush System. – A hose flush system to clear the hose of product may be installed in the manifold when multiple products are dispensed through a single meter and hose under the following conditions:</u>

(a) the inlet valves for the system are conspicuously located above the bottom framework of the truck; and

(b) the inlet valves for the system are not connected to any hose or piping (dust covers are permitted) when not in use; and

(c) the discharge hose remains of the wet hose type; and

(d) the direction of flow for which the system may be set at any time is definitely and conspicuously indicated; and

(e) a recorded representation of each flush is maintained for inspection.

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A43.

CWMA Action: Item 331-3
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):
There were no comments on this item
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)
Committee recommendation to the region:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Reasons for the committee recommendation:
There were no opposing comments
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Unable to consider at this time (<i>Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below</i>)
Regional Report to NCWM:
Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your
region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports
from your region on this item.
The CWMA feels this item has merit and the comments received were in support of it but it is in need of
development.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

331-4 V N.4.6 Verification of Linearization Factors.

Source:

Minnesota Weights and Measures Division (2014)

Purpose:

To update NIST Handbook 44 to reflect the technological changes in registers for vehicle-tank meters and to alert Weights & Measures officials to the fact that error in start-up and shut-down delivery quantities can introduce linear errors in the calibration at normal flow rates which increase the further the delivered quantity deviates from the prover size used at calibration.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Vehicle Tank Meter Code by adding the following:

<u>N.4.6.</u> Verification of Linearization Factors. - All enabled linearization factors shall be verified. The verification of enabled linearization factors shall be done through physical testing, or a combination of physical testing and empirical analysis, at the discretion of the official with statutory authority.

UR.3. Maintenance Requirements

UR.3.1. Use of Adjustments. - Whenever a device is adjusted, all enabled linearization factors shall be verified to determine that the errors are in tolerance and any adjustments which are made, shall be made so as to bring performance errors as close as practicable to zero value. The verification of enabled linearization factors shall be done through physical testing, or a combination of physical testing and empirical analysis at the discretion of the official with statutory authority.

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A44.

CWMA Action: Item 331-4
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):
We heard support from both regulators and industry on this item.
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)
Committee recommendation to the region:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Reasons for the committee recommendation:
There were no opposing comments
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Unable to consider at this time (Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below)
Regional Report to NCWM:
Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your
region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports
from your region on this item.
The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

332 LPG AND ANHYDROUS AMMONIA LIQUID-MEASURING DEVICES

332-1 V S.1.4.2. Return to Zero, S.1.4.3. Initial Zero Indication – Electronic Devices. (See Related Items 330-1 and 331-1)

Source:

Maryland (2016)

Purpose:

Ensure that a register starts on zero upon initial authorization by following the manufacturer's instructions.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44, Liquefied Petroleum Gas and Anhydrous Liquid-Measuring Devices Code as follows:

S.1.4.2. Return to Zero.

- (a) Primary indicating elements shall be readily returnable to a definite zero indication.
- (b) Primary recording elements on a stationary retail device shall be readily returnable to a definite zero indication if the device is equipped to record.
- (c) Means shall be provided to prevent the return of primary indicating elements and of primary recording elements if these are returnable to zero, beyond their correct zero position.

(d) Primary indicating elements shall not be resettable to zero during a delivery.

(Amended 1990 and 20XX)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A47.

CWMA Action: Item 332-1

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):

No comments were received on this item.

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

We received no opposing comments.

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

- Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)
- Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

332-2 V S.1.4.3. Provisions for Power Loss, S.1.5.1.1. Unit Price., S.1.5.1.2. Product Identity., S.1.6. For Retail Motor Vehicle Fuel Devices Only., S.1.7. For Wholesale Devices Only., UR.2.7. Unit Price and Product Identity., and UR.2.8. Computing Device.

Source:

California Department of Food and Agriculture Division of Measurement Standards (2014)

Purpose:

Add similar Specifications and User Requirements for other retail motor-fuel devices to Handbook 44 Section 3.32. Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) and Anhydrous Liquid-Measuring Devices Code similar to those in Section 3.30 Liquid-Measuring Devices, Section 3.37 Mass flow Meters, and Section 3.39 Hydrogen-Gas Measuring Devices Tentative Code.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44, Liquefied Petroleum Gas and Anhydrous Liquid-Measuring Devices Code as follows:

S.1.4. For Retail Devices Only.

S.1.4.1. Indication of Delivery. – A retail device shall be constructed to show automatically <u>show on</u> its <u>face the</u> initial zero condition and the <u>amounts quantity</u> delivered up to the nominal capacity of the device. <u>However, the following requirements shall apply:</u>

For electronic devices manufactured prior to January 1, 2006, the first 0.03 L (or 0.009 gal) of a delivery and its associated total sales price need not be indicated.

For electronic devices manufactured on or after January 1, 2006, the measurement, indication of delivered quantity, and the indication of total sales price shall be inhibited until the fueling position reaches conditions necessary to ensure that the delivery starts at zero. [Nonretroactive as of January 1, 2006] (Amended 2014)

S.1.5. For Stationary Retail Devices Only.

S.1.5.1. Display of Unit Price and Product Identity. – In a <u>A</u> device of the computing type, means shall be provided for displaying on each face of the device the unit price at which the device is set to compute or to deliver as the case may be, and there shall be conspicuously displayed on each side of the device the identity of the product that is being dispensed. If a device is so designed as to dispense more than one

grade, brand, blend, or mixture of product, the identity of the grade, brand, blend, or mixture being dispensed shall also be displayed on each face of the device.

Except for dispensers used exclusively for fleet sales and other price contract sales, all of the unit prices at which that product is offered for sale shall meet the following conditions:

- (1) For a system that applies a discount prior to the delivery, all unit prices shall be displayed or shall be capable of being displayed on the dispenser through a deliberate action of the purchaser prior to the delivery of the product. It is not necessary that all of the unit prices be simultaneously displayed prior to the delivery of the product.
- (2) For a system that offers post-delivery discounts on fuel sales, display of pre-delivery unit price information is exempt from (1) above, provided the system complies with S.1.5.5. Recorded Representations for Transactions Where a Post-Delivery Discount(s) is Provided.

Note: When a product is offered at more than one unit price, display of the unit price information may be through the deliberate action of the customer: 1) using controls on the device; 2) through the customer's use of personal or vehicle-mounted electronic equipment communicating with the system; or 3) verbal instructions by the customer.

[Nonretroactive as of January 1, 201X] (Added 201X)

S.1.5.3. Recorded Representations, Point-of-Sale Systems. Except for fleet sales and other price contract sales, a printed receipt providing the following information shall be available through a built-in or separate recording element for all transactions conducted with point-of-sale systems or devices activated by debit cards, credit cards, and/or cash:

- (a) the total volume of the delivery;
- (b) the unit price;
- (c) the total computed price; and
- (d) the product identity by name, symbol, abbreviation, or code number.

(Added 2014)

S.1.5.3. Agreement Between Indications.

- (a) <u>When a quantity value indicated or recorded by an auxiliary element is a derived or computed</u> value based on data received from a device, the value may differ from the quantity value displayed on the dispenser, provided that the following conditions are met:
 - (1) all total values for an individual sale that are indicated or recorded by the system agree, and
 - (2) *within each element, the values indicated or recorded meet the formula (quantity x unit price* = total sales price) to the closest cent.
- (b) <u>When a system applies a post-delivery discount(s) to a fuel's unit price through an auxiliary</u> element, the total volume of the delivery shall be in agreement between all elements in the system.

[Nonretroactive as of January 1, 201X] (Added 201X)

S.1.5.4. Recorded Representations. – Except for fleet sales and other price contract sales and for transactions where a post-delivery discount is provided, a receipt providing the following information shall be available through a built-in or separate recording element for all transactions conducted with point-of-sale systems or devices activated by debit cards, credit cards, and/or cash:

(a) the total volume of the delivery;

(b) the unit price;

(c) the total computed price; and

(d) the product identity by name, symbol, abbreviation, or code number. (Added 2016)

S.1.5.5. Recorded Representations for Transactions Where a Post-Delivery Discount(s) is Provided. – Except for fleet sales and other price contract sales, a printed receipt providing the following information shall be available through a built-in or separate recording element that is part of the system for transactions involving a post-delivery discount:

(a) the product identity by name, symbol, abbreviation, or code number;

- (b) transaction information as shown on the dispenser at the end of the delivery and prior to any post-delivery discount(s), including the:
 - (1) total volume of the delivery;
 - (2) unit price; and
 - (3) total computed price of the fuel sale.

(c) an itemization of the post-delivery discounts to the unit price; and

(d) the final total price of the fuel sale after all post-delivery discounts are applied.

(Added 201X)

S.1.5.6. Transaction Information, Power Loss. In the event of a power loss, the information needed to complete any transaction in progress at the time of the power loss (such as the quantity and unit price, or sales price) shall be determinable for at least 15 minutes at the device or other onsite device accessible to the customer. [Nonretroactive as of January 1, 201X]

(Added 201X)

S.1.5.7. Totalizers for Retail Motor-Fuel Dispensers. – Retail motor-fuel dispensers shall be equipped with a nonresettable totalizer for the quantity delivered through the metering device. [Nonretroactive as of January 1, 201X] (Added 201X)

S.2. Design of Measuring Elements.

<u>S.2.5. Zero-Set-Back Interlock for Stationary Retail Motor-Fuel Devices – A device shall be constructed</u> so that:

- (a) after a delivery cycle has been completed by moving the starting lever to any position that shuts off the device, an automatic interlock prevents a subsequent delivery until the indicating elements, and recording elements if the device is equipped and activated to record, have been returned to their zero positions;
- (b) the discharge nozzle cannot be returned to its designed hanging position (that is, any position where the tip of the nozzle is placed in its designed receptacle and the lock can be inserted) until the starting lever is in its designed shut-off position and the zero-set-back interlock has been engaged; and
- (c) in a system with more than one dispenser supplied by a single pump, an effective automatic control valve in each dispenser prevents product from being delivered until the indicating elements on that dispenser are in a correct zero position.
 oursetposetive as of January 1, 20181

[Nonretroactive as of January 1, 201X] (Added 201X)

<u>S.2.6</u>. <u>S.2.5</u>. Thermometer Well. – For test purposes, means shall be provided to determine the temperature of the liquid either:

(a) in the liquid chamber of the meter; or

(b) in the meter inlet or discharge line and immediately adjacent to the meter.

(Amended 1987)

<u>S.2.7.</u> S.2.6. Automatic Temperature Compensation. – A device may be equipped with an adjustable automatic means for adjusting the indication and registration of the measured volume of product to the volume at 15 °C (60 °F).

<u>S.2.7.1</u>S.2.6.1. Provision for Deactivating. – On a device equipped with an automatic temperaturecompensating mechanism that will indicate or record only in terms of liters or gallons adjusted to 15 $^{\circ}$ C (60 $^{\circ}$ F), provision shall be made to facilitate the deactivation of the automatic temperaturecompensating mechanism so that the meter may indicate, and record if it is equipped to record, in terms of the uncompensated volume. (Amended 1972)

S.2.7.2. **S.2.6.2. Provision for Sealing.** – Provision shall be made for applying security seals in such a manner that an automatic temperature-compensating system cannot be disconnected and that no adjustment may be made to the system.

UR.2. Use Requirements.

UR.2.7. For Stationary Retail Computing Type Systems Only, Installed After January 1, 201X.

UR.2.7.1. Unit Price and Product Identity.

- (a) <u>The following information shall be conspicuously displayed or posted on the face of a retail</u> <u>dispenser used in direct sale:</u>
 - (1) <u>except for unit prices resulting from any post-delivery discount and dispensers used</u> <u>exclusively for fleet sales, other price contract sales, and truck refueling (e.g., truck stop</u> <u>dispensers used only to refuel trucks), all of the unit prices at which the product is offered</u> <u>for sale; and</u>
 - (2) <u>in the case of a computing type device or money-operated type device, the unit price at</u> which the dispenser is set to compute.

<u>Provided that the dispenser complies with S.1.5.1. Display of Unit Price and Product Identity, it is not</u> necessary that all the unit prices be simultaneously displayed or posted.

- (b) <u>The following information shall be conspicuously displayed or posted on each side of a retail</u> <u>dispenser used in direct sale:</u>
 - (1) the identity of the product in descriptive commercial terms; and
 - (2) <u>the identity of the grade, brand, blend, or mixture that a multi-product dispenser is set to</u> <u>deliver.</u>

(Added 201X)

<u>UR.2.7.2.</u> Computing Device. – Any computing device used in an application where a product or grade is offered for sale at one or more unit prices shall be used only for sales for which the device computes and displays the sales price for the selected transaction.

The following exceptions apply:

- (a) Fleet sales and other price contract sales are exempt from this requirement.
- (b) <u>A truck stop dispenser used exclusively for refueling trucks is exempt from this requirement</u> <u>provided that:</u>
 - (1) <u>all purchases of fuel are accompanied by a printed receipt of the transaction containing</u> <u>the applicable price per unit of measure, the total quantity delivered, and the total price</u>

of the sale; and

- (2) <u>unless a dispenser complies with S.1.5.1. Display of Unit Price, the price posted on the dispenser and the price at which the dispenser is set to compute shall be the highest price for any transaction which may be conducted.</u>
- (c) <u>A dispenser used in an application where a price per unit discount is offered following the delivery is exempt from this requirement, provided the following conditions are satisfied:</u>
 - (1) <u>the unit price posted on the dispenser and the unit price at which the dispenser is set to</u> <u>compute shall be the highest unit price for any transaction;</u>
 - (2) <u>all purchases of fuel are accompanied by a receipt recorded by the system for the transaction containing:</u>
 - a. the product identity by name, symbol, abbreviation, or code number;
 - b. <u>transaction information as shown on the dispenser at the end of the delivery and</u> <u>prior to any post-delivery discount including the:</u>
 - 1. total volume of the delivery;
 - 2. unit price; and
 - 3. total computed price of the fuel sale prior to post-delivery discounts being applied.
 - c. an itemization of the post-delivery discounts to the unit price; and
 - d. the final total price of the fuel sale after all post-delivery discounts are applied.

(Added 201X)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A49.

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

- Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)
- Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

332-3 V S.2.1. Vapor Elimination

Source:

NIST Office of Weights and Measures (2016)

Purpose:

- 1) To require that the vapor eliminator on LPG and Anhydrous Ammonia liquid-measuring devices be automatic in operation;
- 2) To add the word, "air" to the vapor eliminator requirements for clarification and consistency with other measuring codes; and
- 3) To require that vapor elimination vent lines be made of metal tubing or other rigid material.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44, Liquefied Petroleum Gas and Anhydrous Liquid-Measuring Devices Code as follows:

S.2.1. Vapor Elimination. –

(a) A device shall be equipped with an effective <u>automatic</u> vapor eliminator or other effective means to prevent the passage of vapor through the meter.

(b) Vent lines from the vapor eliminator shall be made of appropriate non-collapsible material.

(Amended 20XX)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A60.

CWMA Action: Item 332-3	
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):	
There was no comment on this item	
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)	
Committee recommendation to the region:	
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda	
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda	
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)	
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (<i>To be developed by source</i>)	
Reasons for the committee recommendation:	
No opposition to this item	
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION	
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)	
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:	
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda	
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda	
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)	
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (<i>To be developed by source</i>)	
Unable to consider at this time (<i>Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below</i>)	
Regional Report to NCWM:	
Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your	
region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports	
from your region on this item.	
The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.	

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

332-4 V Table S.2.2. Categories of Sealing and Methods of Sealing (See Related Items 331-2, 334-1, 335-1, 337-1, 338-1 and 339-1)

Source:

Gilbarco, Inc. (2016)

Purpose:

Allow a Category 3 event logger to have an electronic means to transfer the event logger information..

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Vehicle Tank Meter Code as follows:

Table S.2.2. Categories of Device and Methods of Sealing	
Categories of Device	Methods of Sealing
<i>Category 1:</i> No remote configuration capability.	Seal by physical seal or two event counters: one for calibration parameters and one for configuration parameters.
Category 2: Remote configuration capability, but access is controlled by physical hardware. The device shall clearly indicate that it is in the remote configuration mode and record such message if capable of printing in this mode or shall not operate while in this mode.	The hardware enabling access for remote communication must be on-site. The hardware must be sealed using a physical seal or an event counter for calibration parameters and an event counter for configuration parameters. The event counters may be located either at the individual measuring device or at the system controller; however, an adequate number of counters must be provided to monitor the calibration and configuration parameters of the individual devices at a location. If the counters are located in the system controller rather than at the individual device, means must be provided to generate a hard copy of the information through an on-site device.
Category 3: Remote configuration capability access may be unlimited or controlled through a software switch (e.g., password).	An event logger is required in the device; it must include an event counter (000 to 999), the parameter ID, the date and time of the change, and the new value of the parameter. A printed copy of the information must be available <u>on demand</u> through the device or through
The device shall clearly indicate that it is in the remote configuration mode and record such message if capable of printing in this mode or shall not operate while in this mode.	another on-site device. <u>The information may also be</u> <u>available electronically</u> . The event logger shall have a capacity to retain records equal to 10 times the number of sealable parameters in the device, but not more than 1000 records are required. (Note: Does not require 1000 changes to be stored for each parameter.)

[Nonretroactive as of January 1, 1995]

(Table Added 2006) (Amended 20XX)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A62.

CWMA Action: Item 332-4

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):

No comments were received on this item.

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

We received no opposing comments.

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)

Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

332-5 V N.3. Test Drafts.

Source:

Endress + Hauser Flowtec AG USA (2015)

Purpose:

Allow transfer standard meters to be used to test and place into service dispensers and delivery system flow meters.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 LPG and Anhydrous Ammonia Liquid-Measuring Devices as follows:

N.3. Test Drafts. -

<u>N.3.1 Minimum Test</u> - Test drafts should be equal to at least the amount delivered by the device in one minute at its normal discharge rate. (Amended 1982)

<u>N.3.2. Transfer Standard Test. – When comparing a meter with a calibrated transfer standard, the test draft shall be equal to at least the amount delivered by the device in 2 minutes at its maximum discharge rate.</u>

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A62.

CWMA Action: Item 332-5

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings): Michael Keilty from Endress-Hauser was in favor of this item. The majority of comments heard agreed that transfer standards have a place in testing, however procedures need to be developed to insure accuracy, traceability, and suitability.

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (*If different than agenda item*)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

We believe this item has merit but needs further development

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)
- Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item has merit and the comments received were in support of the use of transfer standards once the proper procedures have been developed to insure accuracy, traceability, and suitability.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

332-6 V N.4.2.3. For Wholesale Devices

Source:

NIST Office of Weights and Measures (2016)

Purpose:

- 1) To specify the purpose of special tests conducted on Wholesale LPG and Anhydrous Ammonia Liquid-Measuring Devices;
- 2) To specify that the special tests are to be conducted at or slightly above the designated flow rates in the referenced paragraph; and
- 3) To specify that the special tests are not to be conducted below the device's marked minimum discharge rate.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44, Liquefied Petroleum Gas and Anhydrous Liquid-Measuring Devices Code as follows:

N.4.2.3. For Wholesale Devices. – A wholesale device shall be so tested at a minimum discharge rate of: "Special" tests shall be made to develop the operating characteristics of a measuring system and any special elements and accessories attached to or associated with the device. "Special" tests shall include a test at or slightly above the slower of the following rates:

- (a) 40 L (10 gal) per minute for a device with a rated maximum discharge less than 180 L (50 gal) per minute-:
- (b) 20 % of the marked maximum discharge rate for a device with a rated maximum discharge of 180 L (50 gal) per minute or more; or
- (c) the minimum discharge rate marked on the device, whichever is least.

In no case shall the test be performed at a flow rate less than the minimum discharge rate marked on the device.

(Amended 1987 and 20XX)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A66.

CWMA Action: Item 332-6	
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):	
There was no discussion on this item	
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)	
Committee recommendation to the region:	
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda	
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda	
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)	
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)	
Reasons for the committee recommendation:	
There was no opposition to this item	
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION	
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)	
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:	
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda	
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda	
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)	
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)	
Unable to consider at this time (<i>Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below</i>)	
Regional Report to NCWM:	
Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your	
region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports	
from your region on this item.	
The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.	

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

332-7 V UR.2.3. Vapor-Return Line

Source:

NIST Office of Weights and Measures (2016)

Purpose:

Clarify conditions under which the use of an LPG vapor return line connected from a supplier's tank to a receiving container is or is not permitted.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44, Liquefied Petroleum Gas and Anhydrous Liquid-Measuring Devices Code as follows:

UR.2.3. Vapor-Return Line. – During any metered delivery of liquefied petroleum gas from a supplier's tank to a receiving container, there shall be no \underline{a} vapor-return line from the receiving container to the supplier's tank <u>is prohibited except</u>:

- (a) in the case of any receiving container to which normal deliveries **can <u>cannot</u>** be made without the use of such vapor-return line; or
- (b) in the case of any **new top spray-fill** receiving container when the ambient temperature is **below** at or **above** 90 °F (32 °C).

(Amended 20XX)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A68.

CWMA Action: Item 332-7	
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):	
There was no discussion on this item	
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)	
Committee recommendation to the region:	
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda	
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda	
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)	
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)	
Reasons for the committee recommendation:	
No opposition to this item	
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION	
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)	
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:	
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda	
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda	
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)	
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)	
Unable to consider at this time (<i>Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below</i>)	
Regional Report to NCWM:	
Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your	
region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports	
from your region on this item.	
The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.	
The Orthan teols and real is fully developed.	

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

334 CRYOGENIC LIQUID-MEASURING DEVICES

334-1 V Table S.252. Categories of Sealing and Methods of Sealing (See Related Items 331-2, 332-4, 335-1, 337-1, 338-1 and 339-1)

Source:

Gilbarco, Inc. (2016)

Purpose:

Allow a Category 3 event logger to have an electronic means to transfer the event logger information.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Vehicle Tank Meter Code as follows:

Table S.2.5. Categories of Device and Methods of Sealing	
Categories of Device	Methods of Sealing
<i>Category 1:</i> No remote configuration capability.	Seal by physical seal or two event counters: one for calibration parameters and one for configuration parameters.
Category 2: Remote configuration capability, but access is controlled by physical hardware. The device shall clearly indicate that it is in the remote configuration mode and record such message if capable of printing in this mode or shall not operate while in this mode.	The hardware enabling access for remote communication must be on-site. The hardware must be sealed using a physical seal or an event counter for calibration parameters and an event counter for configuration parameters. The event counters may be located either at the individual measuring device or at the system controller; however, an adequate number of counters must be provided to monitor the calibration and configuration parameters of the individual devices at a location. If the counters are located in the system controller rather than at the individual device, means must be provided to generate a hard copy of the information through an on-site device.
Category 3: Remote configuration capability access may be unlimited or controlled through a software switch (e.g., password).	An event logger is required in the device; it must include an event counter (000 to 999), the parameter ID, the date and time of the change, and the new value of the parameter. A printed copy of the information must be available <u>on demand</u> through the device or through another on-site device. <u>The information may also be</u>
The device shall clearly indicate that it is in the remote configuration mode and record such message if capable of printing in this mode or shall not operate while in this mode.	available electronically. The event logger shall have a capacity to retain records equal to 10 times the number of sealable parameters in the device, but not more than 1000 records are required. (Note: Does not require 1000 changes to be stored for each parameter.)

[Nonretroactive as of January 1, 1995]

(Table Added 2006) (Amended 20XX)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A69.

CWMA Action: Item 334-1	
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):	
No comments were received on this item.	
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)	
Committee recommendation to the region:	
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda	
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda	
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)	
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)	
Reasons for the committee recommendation:	
We received no opposing comments.	
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION	
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)	
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:	
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda	
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda	
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)	
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (<i>To be developed by source</i>)	
Unable to consider at this time (<i>Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below</i>)	
Regional Report to NCWM:	
Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your	
region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports	
from your region on this item.	
The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.	

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15 to review these documents.

335 MILK METERS

Table S.2.2. Categories of Sealing and Methods of Sealing (See Related Items 331-2, 335-1 V 332-4, 334-1, 337-1, 338-1 and 339-1)

Source:

Gilbarco, Inc. (2016)

Purpose:

Allow a Category 3 event logger to have an electronic means to transfer the event logger information..

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Vehicle Tank Meter Code as follows:

Table S.2.3.Categories of Device and Methods of Sealing	
Categories of Device	Methods of Sealing
<i>Category 1:</i> No remote configuration capability.	Seal by physical seal or two event counters: one for calibration parameters and one for configuration parameters.
Category 2: Remote configuration capability, but access is controlled by physical hardware. The device shall clearly indicate that it is in the remote configuration mode and record such message if capable of printing in this mode or shall not operate while in this mode.	The hardware enabling access for remote communication must be on-site. The hardware must be sealed using a physical seal or an event counter for calibration parameters and an event counter for configuration parameters. The event counters may be located either at the individual measuring device or at the system controller; however, an adequate number of counters must be provided to monitor the calibration and configuration parameters of the individual devices at a location. If the counters are located in the system controller rather than at the individual device, means must be provided to generate a hard copy of the information through an on-site device.
Category 3: Remote configuration capability access may be unlimited or controlled through a software switch (e.g., password).	An event logger is required in the device; it must include an event counter (000 to 999), the parameter ID, the date and time of the change, and the new value of the parameter. A printed copy of the information must be available <u>on demand</u> through the device or through
The device shall clearly indicate that it is in the remote configuration mode and record such message if capable of printing in this mode or shall not operate while in this mode.	another on-site device. <u>The information may also be</u> <u>available electronically.</u> The event logger shall have a capacity to retain records equal to 10 times the number of sealable parameters in the device, but not more than 1000 records are required. (Note: Does not require 1000 changes to be stored for each parameter.)

[Nonretroactive as of January 1, 1995]

(Table Added 2006) (Amended 20XX)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A70.

CWMA Action: Item 335-1

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):

No comments were received on this item.

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

We received no opposing comments.

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

- Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
- Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

337 MASS FLOW METERS

337-1 V Table S.3.5. Categories of Sealing and Methods of Sealing (See Related Items 331-2, 332-4, 334-1, 335-1, 338-1 and 339-1)

Source:

Gilbarco, Inc. (2016)

Purpose:

Allow a Category 3 event logger to have an electronic means to transfer the event logger information..

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Vehicle Tank Meter Code as follows:

Table S.3.5.Categories of Device and Methods of Sealing	
Categories of Device	Methods of Sealing
<i>Category 1:</i> No remote configuration capability.	Seal by physical seal or two event counters: one for calibration parameters and one for configuration parameters.
Category 2: Remote configuration capability, but access is controlled by physical hardware. The device shall clearly indicate that it is in the remote configuration mode and record such message if capable of printing in this mode or shall not operate while in this mode.	The hardware enabling access for remote communication must be on-site. The hardware must be sealed using a physical seal or an event counter for calibration parameters and an event counter for configuration parameters. The event counters may be located either at the individual measuring device or at the system controller; however, an adequate number of counters must be provided to monitor the calibration and configuration parameters of the individual devices at a location. If the counters are located in the system controller rather than at the individual device, means must be provided to generate a hard copy of the information through an on-site device.
Category 3: Remote configuration capability access may be unlimited or controlled through a software switch (e.g., password).	An event logger is required in the device; it must include an event counter (000 to 999), the parameter ID, the date and time of the change, and the new value of the parameter. A printed copy of the information must be available <u>on demand</u> through the device or through
The device shall clearly indicate that it is in the remote configuration mode and record such message if capable of printing in this mode or shall not operate while in this mode.	another on-site device. <u>The information may also be</u> <u>available electronically.</u> The event logger shall have a capacity to retain records equal to 10 times the number of sealable parameters in the device, but not more than 1000 records are required. (Note: Does not require 1000 changes to be stored for each parameter.)

[Nonretroactive as of January 1, 1995]

(Table Added 1995) (Amended 1995, 1998, 1999, and 2006 and 20XX)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A70.

CWMA Action: Item 337-1

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):

No comments were received on this item.

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

We received no opposing comments.

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

- Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
- Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

 337-2 V Appendix D – Definitions: Diesel Liter Equivalent (DLE) and Diesel Gallon Equivalents (DGE) for Compressed Natural Gas and Liquefied Natural Gas; Definition of Gasoline Gallon Equivalent and Gasoline Liter Equivalent for Compressed Natural Gas; S.1.2. Compressed Natural Gas and Liquefied Natural Gas Dispensers; S.1.3.1.1. Compressed Natural Gas Used as an Engine Fuel; S.1.3.1.2. Liquefied Natural Gas Used as an Engine Fuel; S.5.2. Marking of Diesel and Gasoline Volume Equivalent Conversion Factor; Compressed Natural Gas, S.5.3. Marking of Diesel Volume Equivalent Conversion Factor; Liquefied Natural Gas, UR.3.1.1. Marking of Equivalent Conversion Factor for Compressed Natural Gas, UR.3.1.2. Marking of Equivalent Conversion Factor for Liquefied Natural Gas, and UR.3.8. Return of Product to Storage, Retail Compressed Natural Gas and Liquefied Natural Gas

Source:

Clean Vehicle Education Foundation (2014)

Purpose:

Since natural gas is sold in the retail market place as compressed natural gas (CNG) and liquefied natural gas (LNG) an alternative fuel to gasoline and diesel fuel, the proposed additions and edits to Handbook 44 will provide definitions for volume units of CNG and LNG that are the energy equivalents for diesel and/or gasoline gallons so that end users can readily compare cost and fuel economy. At present only equivalents for gasoline are included in NIST Handbooks 44 and 130 for CNG as an engine fuel. The proposal also includes modifications to Appendix D relative to the sale of LNG and CNG.

Item Under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Appendix D to include the following new definition:

<u>diesel gallon equivalent (DGE). – Diesel gallon equivalent (DGE) means 6.384 pounds of compressed</u> <u>natural gas or 6.059 pounds of liquefied natural gas. [3.37]</u> (Added 2016)

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Appendix D definitions as follows:

gasoline gallon equivalent (GGE). – Gasoline gallon equivalent (GGE) means 5.660 pounds of **compressed** natural gas. [3.37] (Added 1994) (**Amended 2016**)

Delete the following NIST Handbook 44 Appendix D definition as shown:

gasoline liter equivalent (GLE). Gasoline liter equivalent (GLE) means 0.678 kilograms of natural gas.[3.37] (Added 1994)

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Mass Flow Meters Code paragraphs S.1.2., S.1.3.1.1., S.5.2., and UR.3.8. and add new paragraphs S.1.3.1.2., S.5.3., UR.3.1.1. and UR.3.1.2. as follows:

S.1.2. Compressed Natural Gas and Liquefied Natural Gas Dispensers. – Except for fleet sales and other price contract sales, a compressed <u>or liquefied</u> natural gas dispenser used to refuel vehicles shall be of the computing type and shall indicate the quantity, the unit price, and the total price of each delivery. The dispenser shall display the mass measured for each transaction either continuously on an external or internal display accessible during the inspection and test of the dispenser, or display the quantity in mass units by using controls on the device.

(Added 1994) (Amended 2016)

S.1.3. Units.

S.1.3.1.1. Compressed Natural Gas Used as an Engine Fuel. – When compressed natural gas is dispensed as an engine fuel, the delivered quantity shall be indicated in <u>"gasoline liter equivalent (GLE) units" or "gasoline gallon equivalent (GGE) units" or diesel gallon equivalent units (DGE), or in mass.</u> (Also see Appendix D definitions.)
 (Added 1994) (<u>Amended 2016</u>)

<u>S.1.3.1.2. Liquefied Natural Gas Used as an Engine Fuel. – When liquefied natural gas is dispensed as an engine fuel, the delivered quantity shall be indicated in diesel gallon equivalent units (DGE) or in mass. (Also see definitions.)</u> (Added 2016)

S.5.2. Marking of Gasoline Volume Equivalent Conversion Factors for Compressed Natural Gas. – A device dispensing compressed natural gas shall have either the statement <u>"1 Gasoline Liter Equivalent</u> (GLE) is Equal to 0.678 kg of Natural Gas" or "1 Gasoline Gallon Equivalent (GGE) is Equal means 5.660 lb of <u>Compressed</u> Natural Gas" or "1 Diesel Gallon Equivalent (DGE) means 6.384 lb of Compressed Natural Gas" permanently and conspicuously marked on the face of the dispenser according to the method of sale used.

(Added 1994)(Amended 2016)

S.5.3. Marking of Equivalent Conversion Factors for Liquefied Natural Gas. – A device dispensing liquefied natural gas shall have the statement "1 Diesel Gallon Equivalent (DGE) means 6.059 lb of Liquefied Natural Gas" permanently and conspicuously marked on the face of the dispenser according to the method of sale used. (Amended 2016)

S.6. Printer. – When an assembly is equipped with means for printing the measured quantity, the following conditions apply:

(a) the scale interval shall be the same as that of the indicator;

(b) the value of the printed quantity shall be the same value as the indicated quantity, except that after January 1, 2018 the printed quantity shall also include mass value if mass is not the indicated quantity (Amended 2016);

(c) a quantity for a delivery (other than an initial reference value) cannot be recorded until the measurement and delivery has been completed;

(d) the printer is returned to zero when the resettable indicator is returned to zero; and

(e) the printed values shall meet the requirements applicable to the indicated values.

UR.3.1.1. Marking of Equivalent Conversion Factors for Compressed Natural Gas. – A device dispensing compressed natural gas shall have either the statement "1 Gasoline Gallon Equivalent (GGE) means 5.660 lb of Compressed Natural Gas" or "1 Diesel Gallon Equivalent (DGE) means 6.384 lb of Compressed Natural Gas" permanently and conspicuously marked on the face of the dispenser according to the method of sale used. (Added 2016)

UR.3.1.2. Marking of Equivalent Conversion Factors for Liquefied Natural Gas. – A device dispensing liquefied natural gas shall have the statement "1 Diesel Gallon Equivalent (DGE) means 6.059 lb of Liquefied Natural Gas" permanently and conspicuously marked on the face of the dispenser according to the method of sale used. (Added 2016)

UR.3.8. Return of Product to Storage, Retail Compressed <u>and Liquefied</u> Natural Gas Dispensers. – Provisions at the site shall be made for returning product to storage or disposing of the product in a safe and timely manner during or following testing operations. Such provisions may include return lines, or cylinders adequate in size and number to permit this procedure. (Added 1998) (<u>Amended 2016</u>)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A71.

CWMA Action: Item 337-2		
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):		
There was a variety of comments heard on this matter both in favor and in opposition to the method of sale, but the		
consensus was this should be submitted as a voting item.		
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)		
Committee recommendation to the region:		
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda		
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda		
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)		
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)		
Reasons for the committee recommendation:		
Based on the comments heard, this committee is in support of this being a voting item.		
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION		
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)		
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:		
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda		
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda		
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)		
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)		
Unable to consider at this time (<i>Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below</i>)		
Regional Report to NCWM:		

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

Although no consensus could be reached regarding this item the CWMA recommends that this items be voted on by the NCWM.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

337-3 V N.3. Test Drafts.

Source:

Endress + Hauser Flowtec AG USA (2015)

Purpose:

Allow transfer standard meters to be used to test and place into service dispensers and delivery system flow meters.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Mass Flow Meters Code as follows:

N.3. Test Drafts. –

N.3.1 Minimum Test - Test drafts should be equal to at least the amount delivered by the device in one minute at its normal discharge rate. (Amended 1982)

<u>N.3.2. Transfer Standard Test. –</u> When comparing a meter with a calibrated transfer standard, the test draft shall be equal to at least the amount delivered by the device in 2 minutes at its maximum discharge rate.

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A97.

CWMA Action: Item 337-3

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):

Michael Keilty from Endress-Hauser was in favor of this item. The majority of comments heard agreed that transfer standards have a place in testing, however procedures need to be developed to insure accuracy, traceability, and suitability.

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (*If different than agenda item*)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

☐ Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (*In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM*) ⊠ Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

We believe this item has merit but is in need of further development

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

- Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)
- Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item has merit and the comments received were in support of the use of transfer standards once the proper procedures have been developed to insure accuracy, traceability, and suitability.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

338 CARBON DIOXIDE LIQUID-MEASURING DEVICES

338-1 V Table S.2.5. Categories of Sealing and Methods of Sealing (See Related Items 331-2, 332-4, 334-1, 335-1, 337-1 and 339-1)

Source:

Gilbarco, Inc. (2016)

Purpose:

Allow a Category 3 event logger to have an electronic means to transfer the event logger information..

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Vehicle Tank Meter Code as follows:

Table S.2.5. Categories of Device and Methods of Sealing	
Categories of Device	Methods of Sealing
<i>Category 1:</i> No remote configuration capability.	Seal by physical seal or two event counters: one for calibration parameters and one for configuration parameters.
Category 2: Remote configuration capability, but access is controlled by physical hardware. The device shall clearly indicate that it is in the remote configuration mode and record such message if capable of printing in this mode or shall not operate while in this mode.	The hardware enabling access for remote communication must be on-site. The hardware must be sealed using a physical seal or an event counter for calibration parameters and an event counter for configuration parameters. The event counters may be located either at the individual measuring device or at the system controller; however, an adequate number of counters must be provided to monitor the calibration and configuration parameters of the individual devices at a location. If the counters are located in the system controller rather than at the individual device, means must be provided to generate a hard copy of the information through an on-site device.
Category 3: Remote configuration capability access may be unlimited or controlled through a software switch (e.g., password).	An event logger is required in the device; it must include an event counter (000 to 999), the parameter ID, the date and time of the change, and the new value of the parameter. A printed copy of the information must be available <u>on demand</u> through the device or through
The device shall clearly indicate that it is in the remote configuration mode and record such message if capable of printing in this mode or shall not operate while in this mode.	another on-site device. <u>The information may also be</u> <u>available electronically.</u> The event logger shall have a capacity to retain records equal to 10 times the number of sealable parameters in the device, but not more than 1000 records are required. (Note: Does not require 1000 changes to be stored for each parameter.)

[Nonretroactive as of January 1, 1995]

(Table Added 2006) (Amended 20XX)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A98.

CWMA Action: Item 338-1

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):

No comments were received on this item.

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

We received no opposing comments.

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

- Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)
- Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

338-2 V S.3.1. Vapor Elimination

Source:

NIST Office of Weights and Measures (2016)

Purpose:

- 1) To require that the vapor eliminator on carbon dioxide liquid-measuring devices be automatic in operation;
- 2) To add the word, "air" to the vapor eliminator requirements for clarification and consistency with other measuring codes; and
- 3) To require that vapor elimination vent lines be made of metal tubing or other rigid material.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44, Carbon Dioxide Liquid-Measuring Devices Code as follows:

S.2.1. Vapor Elimination. –

(a) A measuring system <u>device</u> shall be equipped with an effective <u>automatic</u> vapor eliminator or other effective means to prevent the measurement <u>passage</u> of vapor that will cause errors in excess of the applicable tolerances through the meter.

(b) Vent lines from the vapor eliminator shall be made of appropriate non-collapsible material.

(Amended 20XX)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A99.

CWMA Action: Item 338-2		
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):		
There were no comments on this item		
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)		
Committee recommendation to the region:		
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda		
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda		
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)		
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)		
Reasons for the committee recommendation:		
No opposition to this item		
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION		
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)		
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:		
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda		
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda		
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)		
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)		
Unable to consider at this time (<i>Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below</i>)		
Regional Report to NCWM:		
Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your		
region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports		
from your region on this item.		
The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.		

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

339 HYDROGEN GAS-METERING DEVICES

339-1 V Table S.3.3. Categories of Sealing and Methods of Sealing (See Related Items 331-2, 332-4, 334-1, 335-1, 337-1 and 338-1)

Source: Gilbarco, Inc. (2016)

Purpose:

Allow a Category 3 event logger to have an electronic means to transfer the event logger information..

Item Under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Vehicle Tank Meter Code as follows:

Table S.3.3. Categories of Device and Methods of Sealing	
Categories of Device	Methods of Sealing
<i>Category 1:</i> No remote configuration capability.	Seal by physical seal or two event counters: one for calibration parameters and one for configuration parameters.
Category 2: Remote configuration capability, but access is controlled by physical hardware. The device shall clearly indicate that it is in the remote configuration mode and record such message if capable of printing in this mode or shall not operate while in this mode.	The hardware enabling access for remote communication must be on-site. The hardware must be sealed using a physical seal or an event counter for calibration parameters and an event counter for configuration parameters. The event counters may be located either at the individual measuring device or at the system controller; however, an adequate number of counters must be provided to monitor the calibration and configuration parameters of the individual devices at a location. If the counters are located in the system controller rather than at the individual device, means must be provided to generate a hard copy of the information through an on-site device.
<i>Category 3: Remote configuration capability access may be unlimited or controlled through a software switch (e.g., password).</i>	An event logger is required in the device; it must include an event counter (000 to 999), the parameter ID, the date and time of the change, and the new value of the parameter. A printed copy of the information must be available <u>on demand</u> through the device or through
The device shall clearly indicate that it is in the remote configuration mode and record such message if capable of printing in this mode or shall not operate while in this mode.	another on-site device. <u>The information may also be</u> <u>available electronically.</u> The event logger shall have a capacity to retain records equal to 10 times the number of sealable parameters in the device, but not more than 1000 records are required. (Note: Does not require 1000 changes to be stored for each parameter.)

(Amended 20XX)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A100.

CWMA Action: Item 339-1
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):
No comments were received on this item.
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)
Committee recommendation to the region:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Reasons for the committee recommendation:
We received no opposing comments.
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (*If different than regional committee recommendation*)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

- Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
- Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

339-2 V Table T.2. Accuracy Classes and Tolerances for Hydrogen Gas-Measuring Devices.

Source:

California (2016)

Purpose:

Temporarily broaden tolerances to reflect the actual capability of the devices.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Hydrogen Gas-Measuring Devices Code as follows:

Table T.2. Accuracy Classes and Tolerances for Hydrogen Gas-Measuring Devices				
Accuracy Class	Application or Commodity Being Measured	Acceptance Tolerance	Maintenance Tolerance	
2.0	Hydrogen gas as a	1.5 %	2.0 %	
<u>10.0¹</u>	vehicle fuel	<u>5.0 %</u>	<u>10.0 %</u>	

¹The tolerance values for Accuracy Class 10.0 hydrogen gas-measuring devices are applicable only until January 1, 2020. Effective January 1, 2020, all hydrogen gas-measuring devices shall meet the tolerance values for Accuracy Class 2.0 and Accuracy Class 10.0 and its associated maintenance and acceptance tolerances will be deleted from Table T.2.

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A100.

CWMA Action: Item 339-2

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings): Kristin Macey and Michael Keilty commented. Mr. Keilty opposes this as a voting item due to the extremely wide tolerance range. Ms. Macey stated that based on the current available data no devices currently in use are capable of meeting the current tolerances in the tentative code.

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (*If different than agenda item*)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

Based on the fact this proposal has an expiration of date January 1, 2020, this committee feels it is appropriate to submit this as a voting item. This will allow manufacturers time to develop devices which can maintain a greater accuracy.

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

Based on the fact that this item includes an expiration date of January 1, 2020 the CWMA recommends this as a voting item to allow further data gathering and further development of infrastructure related to Hydrogen fuel and to allow meters to be developed that are capable of greater accuracy.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

354 TAXIMETERS

354-1 V S.1.2. Advancement of Indicating Elements.

Source:

NIST USNWG on Taximeters (2015)

Purpose:

To recognize that, when the use of flat rates or negotiated rates are permitted as passenger charges, the entry of a flat rate or negotiated rate must result in that charge being displayed on the primary indicating element and (if applicable) through the recording element. Also that, at the time a transaction has been completed, there shall be no further advancement of indicated customer charges.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Taximeter Code as follows:

A.2. Exceptions. – This code does not apply to:

(a) <u>Odometers</u> on vehicles that are rented on a distance basis (for which see Section 5.53. Code for Odometers).

(b) Entities that only charge a flat rate or negotiated rate.

(Amended 1977 and 201X)

S.1.2. Advancement of Indicating Elements. – Except when a taximeter is being cleared, the primary indicating and recording elements shall be susceptible of advancement only by the movement of the vehicle or by the time mechanism.

<u>At the conclusion of a transaction (i.e., following the totalizing of all accrued charges and having a customer receipt made available), no other advancement of fare, extras or other charges shall occur until the taximeter has been cleared.</u>

Note: Where permitted, a flat rate or negotiated rate shall be displayed in the "fare" indicating mechanism, provided that once a flat rate or negotiated rate is entered the fare may no longer be advanced by movement of the vehicle or the time mechanism.

(Amended 1988 and 201X)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A103.

CWMA Action: Item 354-1

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):

There were no comments on this item

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (*If different than agenda item*)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

No opposition to this item

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

354-2 V S.2. Basis of Fare Calculations

Source:

U.S. National Working Group on Taximeters (2016)

Purpose:

To provide a clear statement that more than one rate may be used to calculate a single fare, this proposal would add language to the existing S.2. Basis of Fare Calculations. The changes proposed will also specify that the only time during the sequence of fare calculations at which a change in the rate applied would be permitted to occur.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44, Taximeters Code as follows:

- S.2. Basis of Fare Calculations. A taximeter shall calculate fares only upon the basis of:
 - (a) distance traveled;
 - (b) time elapsed; or
 - (c) a combination of distance traveled and time elapsed.

Note: A taximeter may utilize more than one rate to calculate the fare during a trip. Any change in the applied rate must occur at the completion of the current interval.

(Amended 1977 and 20XX)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A107.

CWMA Action: Item 354-2

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings): There were no comments on this item

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (*If different than agenda item*)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

No opposition to this item

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)
- Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15 to review these documents.

354-3 **S.3.2.** Flag V

Source:

U.S. National Working Group on Taximeters (2016)

Purpose:

Eliminate unnecessary and archaic language from the NIST Handbook 44 Taximeters Code.

Item Under Consideration:

Delete NIST Handbook 44 Taximeters Code paragraph S.3.2. Flag and the definition of the word "Flag" in Appendix D of NIST Handbook 44 as follows:

NIST Handbook 44 Taximeters Code paragraph S.3.2. Flag:

S.3.2. Flag. If the control for the operating condition is a lever arm and flag, the flag shall be at its highest position when the taximeter is cleared, and in this position the whole of the flag shall be above the level of the taximeter housing.

NIST Handbook 44 Appendix D definition:

flag. A plate at the end of the lever arm or similar part by which the operating condition of a taximeter is controlled and indicated.[5.54]

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A107.

CWMA Action: Item 354-3

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):

There were no comments on this item

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM) Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

No opposition to this item

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

- Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)
- Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

354-4 V Appendix D - Definitions: Flat Rate and Negotiated Rate

Source:

U.S. National Working Group on Taximeters (2016)

Purpose:

Provide definitions for two terms introduced in a proposed amendment to S.1.2. Advancement of Indicating Elements in the NIST Handbook 44 Taximeters Code.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Taximeters Code paragraph A.2. Exceptions and add new definitions for "flat rate" and "negotiated rate" to NIST Handbook 44, Appendix D - Definitions as follows:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Taximeters Code paragraph A.2. Exceptions as follows:

A.2. Exceptions. – This code does not apply to:

(a) <u>Odometers</u> on vehicles that are rented on a distance basis (for which see Section 5.53. Code for Odometers).

(b) Entities that only charge a flat rate or negotiated rate.

(Amended 1977 and 201X)

Add the following definitions to NIST Handbook 44 Appendix D:

flat rate. – a rate selection that when applied results in the indication of a fixed (nonincrementing) amount for passenger charges. This rate shall be included on the statement of established rates that is required to be posted in the vehicle. [5.54] (Added 201X)

negotiated rate. – a rate selection that when applied results in passenger charges based on a value that has been agreed upon by the operator and passenger. The amount set by a negotiated rate does not increment. [5.54] (Added 201X)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A108.

CWMA Action: Item 354-4				
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):				
There were no comments on this item				
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)				
Committee recommendation to the region:				
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda				
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda				
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)				
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)				
Reasons for the committee recommendation:				
No opposition to this item				
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION				
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)				
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:				
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda				
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda				
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)				
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)				
Unable to consider at this time (<i>Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below</i>)				
Regional Report to NCWM:				
Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your				
region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports				
from your region on this item.				
The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.				

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

354-5 D USNWG on Taximeters – Taximeter Code Revisions and Global Positioning System-Based Systems for Time and Distance Measurement

Note: This item was originally titled "Item 360-5 S.5. Provision for Security Seals" in the Committee's 2013 Interim Agenda. At the 2013 NCWM Interim Meeting, the Committee combined that item with "Item 354-1 Global Positioning Systems for Taximeters" and "Item 360-6 Global Positioning Systems for Taximeters" to create this new, consolidated item to address the development of recommendations on multiple topics related to taximeters and GPS-based time and distance measuring systems.

Source:

NIST USNWG on Taximeters

Purpose:

Develop recommendations for modifying the existing Taximeters Code to reflect current technology (including requirements for sealing, display requirements, and other features) and to examine GPS-based time and distance measuring systems to determine how to best address these measuring systems in NIST Handbook 44 to ensure accuracy and transparency for passengers and businesses.

Item under Consideration:

This item is under development. Comments and inquiries may be directed to Mr. John Barton (NIST OWM) at 301-975-4002 or john.barton@nist.gov.

The USNWG is considering proposals to modify the sealing requirements in the Taximeters Code to reflect more advanced sealing methods (see 2012 NCWM Final S&T Report); to amend the Taximeters Code to specifically recognize GPS-based time and distance measuring systems; and to amend other sections of the Taximeters Code to reflect current technology and business practices while ensuring accuracy and transparency for customers and a level playing field for transportation service companies.

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A109.

CWMA Action: Item 354-5

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):

The USNWG is still working on this item. They hope to have their recommendations by the July National Meeting **Item as proposed by the regional committee:** (*If different than agenda item*)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

This item is still in development

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item has merit and the comments received were in support of it but it is in need of development.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

354-6 D Transportation Network Systems – Draft code

Source:

California Department of Food and Agriculture, Division of Measurement Standards (2016)

Purpose:

Create an Informational item to engage the weights and measures community in a discussion to create a code section within HB 44 for transportation measuring devices that determine fares using GPS to calculate time and distance.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44, Taximeter Code as follows:

- Rename Section 5.54. Taximeters to Transportation Measuring Devices;
- Within Section 5.54., create Section 5.54.(a) for Taximeters and Section 5.54.(b) for Transportation Network Systems;
- Move current requirements in Section 5.54. Taximeters to Section 5.54(a). Taximeters; and
- Add draft requirements for Transportation Network Systems to new Section 5.54.(b) as presented here:

Transportation Network Systems – Tentative Code

This tentative code has only a trial or experimental status and is not intended to be enforced. The requirements are designed for study prior to the development and adoption of a final code. Officials wanting to conduct an official examination of a device or system are advised to see paragraph G-A.3. Special and Unclassified Equipment.

(Tentative Code Added 20XX)

A. Application

A.1. General. – This code applies to systems that utilize Global Positioning System (GPS) software and associated equipment or other comparable software-based system to determine distance and time, separately or simultaneously, to calculate a rate or rates and indicate the charge for hire of a vehicle or other mode of transport.

<u>A.2. Exceptions. – This code does not apply to taximeters that use distance measurement transducer or odometers on vehicles that are rented on a distance basis (for which see Section 5.53. Code for Odometers).</u>

<u>A.3. Additional Code Requirements. – In addition to the requirements of this code, Transportation</u> Network Systems shall meet the requirements of Section 1.10. General Code.

S. Specifications

S.1. Design of Indicating and Recording Elements.

<u>S.1.1. General. – A system shall be equipped with a primary indicating element and may be equipped with a recording element.</u>

<u>S.1.1.1. Recording Elements. – A receipt providing information as required in S.1.9. Recorded</u> Representations shall be available from the system or other means through an integral or separate recording element for all transactions conducted.

<u>S.1.2.</u> Identification. – The system shall be clearly and permanently marked for the purposes of identification with the following information:

- (a) the name, initials, or trademark of the manufacturer or distributor;
- (b) <u>the current software version or revision identifier shall be:</u>

- (1) <u>prefaced by words or an abbreviation that clearly identifies the number as the required</u> <u>version or revision.</u>
 - i.<u>Abbreviations for the word "Version" shall, as a minimum, begin with the letter "V" and</u> <u>may be followed by the word "Number":</u>
 - ii. <u>Abbreviations for the word "Revision" shall, as a minimum, begin with the letter "R" and</u> <u>may be followed by the word "Number." The abbreviation for the word "Number" shall,</u> <u>as a minimum, begin with the letter "N" (e.g., No or No.);</u>
- (2) directly linked to the software itself.
- (c) a California Type Evaluation Program (CTEP) Certificate of Approval (COA) number or a corresponding COA Addendum Number. The COA Number or a corresponding COA Addendum Number. The COA Number or a corresponding COA Addendum Number shall be prefaced by the terms "CTEP COA," "COA," or "Approval." These terms may be followed by the word "Number" or an abbreviation of that word. The abbreviation for the word "Number" shall, as a minimum, begin with the letter "N" (e.g., No or No.).
- (d) If the system is it designed such that it consists of more than one part, the part dedicated to the metrologically significant software shall be clearly identified.
- S.1.3. Location of Marking Information. The required information in S.1.2. Identification. shall be:

(a) continuously displayed; or

(b) accessible through an easily recognized menu and, if necessary, a submenu. Examples of menu and submenu identification include, but are not limited to, "Help," "System Identification," "Weights and Measures Identification," or "Identification."

<u>Note:</u> Clear instructions for accessing the location of the information required in S.1.2. Identification. shall be listed on the CTEP COA, including information necessary to identify that the software is the same type that was evaluated.

<u>S.1.4.</u> Advancement of Indicating Elements. – Except when a system is being reset, the primary indicating and recording elements shall be susceptible of advancement only by the movement of the vehicle or the time mechanism.

<u>S.1.5. Visibility of Indications. – The indication of fare shall be available at the beginning of the transaction. All fares shall be available whenever the vehicle is hired and in operation. All indications of passenger interest shall be displayed to the passenger, either in the vehicle from a distance of 1.2 m (4 ft) under any condition of normal operation, or on a device operated by the passenger. If the display is not on continuously, it shall be accumulated continuously so that real-time measurement is displayed during activation, no more than every 60 seconds. (Nonretroactive as of 20XX)</u>

<u>S.1.5.1. Minimum Height of Figures, Words, and Symbols. – If the indications are displayed in the vehicle, the minimum height of the figures used to indicate the fare shall be 10 mm and for extras, 8 mm. The minimum height of the figures, words, or symbols used for other indications, including those used to identify or define, shall be 3.5 mm.</u>

<u>S.1.5.2. Lighting of Indications. – If the indications are displayed in the vehicle, integral lighting shall be provided to illuminate the fare and extras.</u>

S.1.5.3. Supplemental Indications. – If a supplementary indicating element is installed in a vehicle to provide information regarding the service to the passenger, it shall clearly display the current total of

<u>all charges incurred for the transaction. The accruing total of all charges must remain clearly visible</u> on the passenger's display unless disabled by the passenger at all times during the transaction.

S.1.5.3.1. Fare and extras charges – The indication of fare and extras charges on the indicating element shall agree with similar indications displayed on all other indicating elements in the system.

S.1.6. Actuation of Fare-Indicating System. – A system shall be designed to calculate fares upon the basis of a combination of distance traveled and time elapsed.

S.1.7. Operating Condition.

<u>S.1.7.1.</u> Fare Identification. – Fare indications shall be identified by the word "Fare" or by an equivalent expression. Values shall be defined by suitable words or monetary signs.

S.1.7.2. Extras. – Extras shall be indicated as a separate item and shall not be included in the fare indication. They shall be identified by the word "Extras" or by an equivalent expression. Values shall be defined by suitable words or monetary signs. Means may be provided to totalize the fare and extras if the totalized amount returns to separate indications of fare and extras within 5 seconds or less.

<u>S.1.7.2.1.</u> Nonuse of Extras. – If and when system extras are prohibited by a legal authority or are discontinued by a vehicle operator, the extras mechanisms shall be rendered inoperable or the extras indications shall be effectively obscured by permanent means.

<u>S.1.8. Protection of Indications. – All indications of fare and extras shall be protected from</u> <u>unauthorized alteration or manipulation.</u>

S.1.9. Recorded Representation. – A receipt issued from a system, whether through an integral or separate recording element, shall include the following:

<u>(a) date;</u>

(b) unique vehicle identification number, or other identifying information as specified by the statutory

<u>authority;</u>

(c) start and end time of trip, and total time of trip, maximum increment of one second;

(d) distance traveled, maximum increment of 0.01 kilometer or 0.01 mile;

(e) the associated fare in \$ at each rate;

(f) additional charges where permitted such as extras; and

(g) total fare in \$ (total charge).

S.2. Basis of Fare Calculations. – A system may calculate fares upon the basis of:

(a) distance traveled;

(b) time elapsed; or

(c) a combination of distance traveled and time elapsed.

<u>S.3. Interference. – For systems that determine distance and time separately there shall be no interference</u> between the time and the distance portions of the mechanism device at any speed of operation.

S.4. Provision for Sealing.

S.4.1. System Security. – A system shall be designed with provisions to ensure that no change can be made that detrimentally affects its metrological integrity.

<u>S.4.2.</u> Changelog. – The system shall provide a changelog, with the information available electronically to the weights and measures official. The changelog shall include a chronological record of all changes affecting the metrological integrity of the system.

S.4.3. Software Authenticity. Technical means shall be employed to guarantee the authenticity of the loaded software, to ensure that it originates from the owner of the type approval certificate.

S.5. Provisions for Power Loss.

<u>S.5.1. Transaction Information. – In the event of a power loss, the system shall be capable of determining the information needed to complete any transaction in progress at the time of the power loss.</u>

N. Notes

N.1. Distance Tests.

<u>N.1.1. Test Methods. – To determine compliance with distance tolerances, a distance test of a system</u> shall be conducted utilizing a distance test or a transfer standard test where applicable.

(a) Specific Distance Test. – The test consists of operating the conveyance over a precisely measured course at least one mile in length.

(b) Transfer Standard Test. - When comparing a system with a calibrated transfer standard, the distance shall be equal to at least the distance traveled on the specific distance test.

<u>N.1.2. Test Procedures. - Not less than two test runs shall be conducted for a distance test and shall be at a speed approximating the average speed traveled by the vehicle in normal service.</u>

<u>N.1.3. Test Conditions. – Tests shall be conducted under conditions that are usual and customary with respect to the location and use of the device.</u>

<u>N.2. Time Test. – A system equipped with a timing device shall be tested during the specific distance and transfer standard tests.</u>

<u>N.3. Isolation Test. – If a system is designed to calculate fares for time and distance separately, tests for time and distance shall be conducted independently.</u>

<u>N.4. Software Tests. – The system software shall be loaded onto a smartphone and tested for authenticity and version number.</u>

T. Tolerances

T.1. Tolerance Values.

T.1.1. Distance Tests. – Maintenance and acceptance tolerances shall be as follows:

(a) On Overregistration: 1 %

(b) On Underregistration: 4 %

T.1.2. Time Tests. – Maintenance and acceptance tolerances shall be as follows:

(a) On Overregistration: 5 seconds per test

(b) On Underregistration: 5 seconds per test

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A111.

CWMA Action: Item 354-6
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):
This item is to be withdrawn and incorporated into 354-5
item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)
Committee recommendation to the region:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Reasons for the committee recommendation:
The submitter requested the item be withdrawn
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Unable to consider at this time (<i>Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below</i>)
Designal Depart to NOWM.
Regional Report to NCWM:
Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your
region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports
rom your region on this item. The CWMA supports the withdrawal of this item based on the submitters request.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

358 MULTIPLE DIMENSION MEASURING DEVICES

358-1 V Table S.4.1.a. Marking Requirements for Multiple Dimension Measuring Systems, Table S.4.1.b. Multiple Dimension Measuring Systems Notes for Table S.4.1.a.

Source:

NTEP Multiple Dimension Measuring Device Work Group (MDMD) (2016)

Purpose:

Create a new specification in the Multiple Dimensioning Measuring Device Code to require that the measurement result of all axes being displayed, printed or recorded in the same unit of measure.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44, Multiple Dimension Measuring Devices Code as follows:

S.1.5. Value of Dimension /Volume Division Units. – The value of a device division "d" expressed in a unit of dimension shall be presented in a decimal format. <u>The value of "d" for each measurement axis shall be in the same unit of measure and</u> with the value of the division expressed as:

•••

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A116.

CWMA Action: Item 358-1
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):
The SMA supports this item
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)
Committee recommendation to the region:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (<i>To be developed by source</i>)
Reasons for the committee recommendation:
No opposition to this item
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (<i>To be developed by source</i>)
Unable to consider at this time (<i>Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below</i>)
Regional Report to NCWM:
Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your
region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports
from your region on this item.
The CWMA feels this item is fully developed and the comments received were in support of the item.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

358-2 V Table S.4.1.a. Marking Requirements for Multiple Dimension Measuring Systems, Table S.4.1.b. Multiple Dimension Measuring Systems Notes for Table S.4.1.a.

Source:

NTEP Multiple Dimension Measuring Device Work Group (MDMD) (2016)

Purpose:

Provide requirements pertaining to the use of multi-intervals on an MDMD.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44, Multiple Dimension Measuring Devices Code as follows:

Modify NIST Handbook-44 Table S.4.1.b. to add a note 9 which reads ⁹ This marking information may be provided by a display or accompanying document. If an accompanying document is provided, the accompanying document shall include the manufacturers name and model designation.

A reference to note 9 to be added to the following marking requirements listed in Table S.4.1.a.: Minimum and Maximum Dimensions for Each Axis, Value of Measuring Division, d (for...), Temperature Limits, Minimum & Maximum Speed, Special Application, and Limitation of Use.

Table S.4.1.a. Marking Requirements for Multiple Dimension Measuring System				
	М	ultiple Dimension Meas	suring Equipment	
To Be Marked With	Multiple Dimensions Measuring Device and Indicating Element in Same Housing	Indicating Element Not Permanently Attached to Multiple Dimension Measuring Element	Multiple Dimension Measuring Element not Permanently Attached to the Indicating Element	Other Equipment (1)
Manufacturer's ID	Х	Х	Х	Х
Model Designation	Х	X	Х	Х
Serial Number and Prefix	Х	X	Х	X (2)
Certificate of Conformance Number (8)	Х	X	Х	X (8)
Minimum and Maximum Dimensions	Х	Х	Х	

for Each Axis (3) <u>(9)</u>				
Value of Measuring Division, d (for each axis and range)(9)	Х	Х	Х	
Temperature Limits (4)(9)	Х	Х	Х	
Minimum and Maximum Speed (5)(9)	Х	Х	Х	
Special Application (6) <u>(9)</u>	Х	Х	Х	
Limitation of Use (7) <u>(9)</u>	X	Х	Х	

Table S.4.1.b.

Multiple Dimension Measuring Systems Notes for Table S.4.a.

- 1. Necessary to the dimension and/or volume measuring system, but having no effect on the measuring value (e.g., auxiliary remote display, keyboard, etc.)
- 2. Modules without "intelligence" on a modular system (e.g., printer, keyboard module, etc.) are not required to have serial numbers.
- 3. The minimum and maximum dimensions and measuring division (using upper and lower case type) shall be marked. For example:

Length: min ____ max ____ d____

Width: min ____ max ____ d____

Height: min ____ max ____ d____

- 4. Required if the range is other than -10 °C to 40 °C (14 °F to 104 °F.)
- 5. Multiple dimension measuring devices, which require that the object or device be moved relative to one another, shall be marked with the minimum and maximum speeds at which the device is capable of making measurements that are within the applicable tolerances.
- 6. A device designed for a special application rather than general use shall be conspicuously marked with suitable words visible to the operator and the customer restricting its use to that application.
- 7. Materials, shapes, structures, combination of object dimensions, speed, spacing, minimum protrusion size, or object orientations that are inappropriate for the device or those that are appropriate.
- 8. Required only if a Certificate of Conformance has been issued for the equipment.
- 9. This marking information may be provided by a display or accompanying document. If an accompanying document is provided, the accompanying document shall include the manufacturers

name and model designation.

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A117.

CWMA Action: Item 358-2
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):
The SMA supports this item
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)
Committee recommendation to the region:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Reasons for the committee recommendation:
There was no opposition to this item
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Unable to consider at this time (<i>Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below</i>)
Regional Report to NCWM:
Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your
region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports
from your region on this item.
The CWMA feels this item is fully developed and the comments received were in support of the item.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

 358-3 V S.2.2.1. Maximum Value of Tare for Multi-Interval (Variable Division Value) Devices. S.2.2.2. Net Values, Mathematical Agreement, Table 1: Examples of Acceptable Altering of Tare to Achieve Accurate Net Indication, Table 2: Examples of Acceptable Rounding of the Net Result (Following the Subtraction of Tare) to Achieve Accurate Net Indication, Table S.4.1.a., Marking Requirements for Multiple Dimension Measuring Systems, T.2.3. Multi-interval (Variable Division-Value) Devices., T.2.4. Mixed-interval Devices.

Source:

NTEP Multiple Dimension Measuring Device Work Group (MDMD) (2016)

Purpose:

Provide requirements pertaining to the use of multi-intervals on an MDMD.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44, Multiple Dimension Measuring Devices Code as follows:

Add new sub-paragraphs S.2.2.1. Maximum Value of Tare for Multi-Interval (Variable Division- Value) Devices and S.2.2.2. Net Values, Mathematical Agreement beneath existing paragraph S.2.2. Tare as follows:

S.2.2. Tare. – The tare function...

<u>S.2.2.1. Maximum Value of Tare for Multi-Interval (Variable Division-Value) Devices. – A multi-interval device shall not accept any tare value greater than the maximum capacity of the lowest range of the axis for which the tare is being entered.</u>

S.2.2.2. Net Values, Mathematical Agreement. - All net values resulting from a device subtracting a tare entry from a gross value indication shall be indicated and recorded, if so equipped, to the nearest division of the measuring range in which the net value occurs. In instances where the tare value entered on a multi-interval device is in a lower partial measuring range (or segment) than the gross indication, the system shall either alter the tare entered or round the net result after subtraction of the tare in order to achieve correct mathematical agreement.

The following example (of a multi-interval device having two partial measuring ranges for the "x" axis) and accompanying two tables are provided to further clarify the two acceptable methods a device can use to achieve mathematical agreement when tare has been entered in a lower partial measuring range than the gross indication:

Example multi-interval device having two partial measuring ranges for the "x" axis:

- Partial measuring range 1: 0 100 inches by 0.2 inch
- Partial measuring range 2: 100 300 inches by 0.5 inch

Table 1: Examples of Acceptable Altering of Tare to Achieve Accurate Net Indication

Gross Indication of Item Being Measured	Tare Entered	Value of Tare after Being Altered by the Device	Acceptable Net Indication
<u>154.5 inches</u>	41.2 inches	<u>41.0 inches</u>	<u>113.5 inches</u>
154.5 inches	41.4 inches	41.5 inches	<u>113.0 inches</u>

 Table 2: Examples of Acceptable Rounding of the Net Result (Following the Subtraction of Tare) to Achieve

 Accurate Net Indication

<u>Gross Indication of Item</u> <u>Being Measured</u>	Tare Entered	<u>Net Result Before Rounding</u> (Gross Indication minus Tare <u>Entered)</u>	<u>Acceptable Net</u> <u>Indication Rounded to</u> <u>Nearest 0.5 inch</u>
<u>154.5 inches</u>	41.2 inches	<u>113.3 inches</u>	113.5 inches
<u>154.5 inches</u>	<u>41.4 inches</u>	<u>113.1 inches</u>	<u>113.0 inches</u>

Amend Table S.4.1.a. Marking Requirements for Multiple Dimension Measuring Equipment as follows:

	Marking Requirement	Table S.4.1.a. nts for Multiple Dimensio	n Measuring Systems	
To Be Marked With ∴	Multiple Dimension Measuring Device and Indicating Element in Same Housing	Multiple Dimension M Indicating Element not Permanently Attached to Multiple Dimension Measuring Element		Other Equipment (1)
Manufacturer's ID	Х	Х	Х	Х
Model Designation	Х	X	Х	X
Serial Number and Prefix	Х	Х	х	x (2)
Certificate of Conformance Number (8)	X	X	x	x (8)
Minimum and Maximum Dimensions for Each Axis for <u>Each Range in Each</u> <u>Axis</u> (3)	X	X	х	
Value of Measuring Division, d (for each axis and range)	Х	X	Х	
Temperature Limits (4)	Х	х	х	
Minimum & Maximum speed (5)	X	x	X	
Special Application (6)	X	x	X	
Limitation of Use (7)	Х	х	Х	

Amend paragraph T.2.3. Multi-Interval (Variable Division-Value) Devices and add a new paragraph T.2.4. <u>Mixed-interval Devices.</u> as follows:

T.2.3. Multi-interval (Variable Division-Value) Devices. – For multi-interval (variable division-value) devices, When there exists two or more partial measuring ranges (or segments) specified for any of the "dimensioning" axes (length (x), width (y), or height (z)) and the division values corresponding to those partial measuring ranges (or segments) within the same "dimensioning" axis differ, the tolerance values are shall be based on the value of the device division of the range in use.

T.2.4. Mixed-interval Devices. - For devices that measure to a different division value in at least one dimensioning axes and all axes are single range, the tolerance values shall be based on the value of the division of the axis in use.

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A118.

CWMA Action: vItem 358-3

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings): The SMA supports this item

Item as proposed by the regional committee: (*If different than agenda item*)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

There was no opposition to this item

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

- Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)
- Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item is fully developed and the comments received were in support of the item.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

360 OTHER ITEMS

360-1 D Electric Watthour Meters Code under Development

Source: NIST OWM (2016)

Purpose:

- Make the weights and measures community aware of work being done within the U.S. National Work Group on Electric Vehicle Fueling and Submetering to develop proposed requirements for electric watthour meters used in submeter applications in residences and businesses;
- 2) Encourage participation in this work by interested regulatory officials, manufacturers, and users of electric submeters.
- 3) Allow an opportunity for the USNWG to provide regular updates to the S&T Committee and the weights and measures community on the progress of this work;
- 4) Allow the USWNG to vet specific proposals as input is needed.

Item under Consideration:

Create a "Developing Item" for inclusion on the NCWM S&T Committee Agenda where progress of the USNWG can be reported as it develops legal metrology requirements for electric watthour meters and continues work to develop test procedures and test equipment standards. The following narrative is proposed for this item:

In 2012, NIST OWM formed the U.S. National Working Group on Electric Vehicle Fueling and Submetering to develop proposed requirements for commercial electricity-measuring devices (including those used in submetering electricity at residential and business locations and those used to measure and sell electricity dispensed as a vehicle fuel) and to ensure that the prescribed methodologies and standards facilitate measurements that are traceable to the International System of Units (SI).

In 2013, the NCWM adopted changes recommended by the USNWG to the NIST Handbook 130 requirements for the Method of Sale of Commodities to specify the method of sale for electric vehicle refueling. At the 2015 NCWM Annual Meeting, the NCWM adopted NIST Handbook 44 Section 3.40 Electric Vehicle Refueling Systems developed by the USNWG.

This Developing Item is included on the Committee's agenda (and a corresponding item is proposed for inclusion on the L&R Committee Agenda) to keep the weights and measures community apprised of USNWG current projects, including the following:

- The USNWG continues to develop recommended test procedures for inclusion in a new EPO 30 for Electric Vehicle Refueling Equipment along with proposed requirements for field test standards.
- The USWNG is continuing work to develop a proposed code for electricity-measuring devices used in sub-metering electricity at residential and business locations. This does not include metering systems under the jurisdiction of public utilities. The USNWG hopes to have a draft code for consideration by the community in the 2016-2107 NCWM cycle.

The USNWG will provide regular updates on the progress of this work and welcomes input from the community.

For additional information, contact USNWG Chairman Tina Butcher at <u>tbutcher@nist.gov</u> or 301-975-2196 or Technical Advisor, Juana Williams at <u>Juana.williams@nist.gov</u> or 301-975-3989

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A122.

CWMA Action: Item 360-1

Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings): Kristin Macey gave an update on the status of this item, and there was no further discussion Item as proposed by the regional committee: (*If different than agenda item*)

Committee recommendation to the region:

Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda

Information Item on the NCWM Agenda

 \square Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM) \square Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)

Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)

Reasons for the committee recommendation:

The committee feels this item is in the developmental stage

COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION

Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)

Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:

- Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
- Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
- Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (*To be developed by source*)
- Unable to consider at this time (*Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below*)

Regional Report to NCWM:

Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports from your region on this item.

The CWMA feels this item has merit and the comments received were in support of it but it is in need of development.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

360-2 W Appendix A – Fundamental Considerations, 2.1. Acceptance and Maintenance Tolerances

Source:

Ross Andersen, Retired (2016)

Purpose:

Amend Section 2.1 of Fundamental Considerations to make it more effectively explain the purpose and application of HB44 tolerances.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Appendix A, Fundamental Considerations as follows:

2.1. Acceptance and Maintenance Tolerances. — The official tolerances prescribed by a weights and measures jurisdiction for commercial equipment are the limits of inaccuracy officially permissible within that jurisdiction. It is recognized that errorless value or performance of mechanical equipment is unattainable. Tolerances are established, therefore, to fix the range of inaccuracy within which equipment will be officially approved for commercial use. In the case of classes of equipment on which the magnitude of the errors of value or performance may be expected to change as a result of use, two sets of tolerances are established: acceptance tolerances and maintenance tolerances.

Acceptance tolerances are applied to new or newly reconditioned or adjusted equipment, and are smaller than (usually one-half of) the maintenance tolerances. Maintenance tolerances thus provide an additional range of inaccuracy within which equipment will be approved on subsequent tests, permitting a limited amount of deterioration before the equipment will be officially rejected for inaccuracy and before reconditioning or adjustment will be required. In effect, there is assured a reasonable period of use for equipment after it is placed in service before reconditioning will be officially required. The foregoing comments do not apply, of course, when only a single set of tolerance values is established, as is the case with equipment such as glass milk bottles and graduates, which maintain their original accuracy regardless of use, and measure-containers, which are used only once.

2.1. Equipment Tolerances. – The official tolerances prescribed by a weights and measures jurisdiction for commercial equipment are the limits of inaccuracy officially permissible within that jurisdiction. These limits are set by means of tolerances which are codified to fix the range of inaccuracy within which equipment will be approved for commercial use when undergoing official tests. Alternatively, equipment that is performing outside these tolerance limits will be rejected and removed from service.

Regulatory decisions, to either approve or reject equipment, come with risks. Measurements are imperfect; meaning measurement without error is unattainable. All measurements involve rounding to the chosen increment of scale, all are subject to random variations, and all are affected by influences, disturbances, biases, and drift. This applies equally to the measurements being made by the commercial equipment, and to the official measurements made to verify that equipment. With these unavoidable uncertainties in the measurements, it is possible, and in fact likely, that compliant equipment will sometimes be rejected and non-compliant equipment will sometimes be approved. The regulatory approach used in this handbook recognizes the risks, attempts to limit the risks to reasonable levels, and, most importantly, balances the risks between buyer and seller. The approach has three primary concerns; accurate official standards, uniform test procedures, and limited equipment variability.

Accurate Official Standards – Each of the specific codes in the handbook prescribes the appropriate verification standards for official testing. The error in the verification standards is limited by a separate set of tolerances that are smaller than the tolerances applied to the equipment under test. These tolerances (see Part 3 of this Appendix) limit the biases imparted to the test directly from any error or bias inherent in the standards. However, these tolerances for the standards do not address the effects of influences, disturbances and drift on the standards when used in official testing.

Uniform Test Procedures - Each of the specific codes in this handbook prescribes uniform test procedures in the Notes section for various types of commercial equipment. These procedures evaluate equipment performance under varying operating conditions consistent with normal usage. The official performing the tests should ensure the procedures are followed meticulously so as to minimize the variability from these procedural sources. Although the procedures may be performed correctly, it is not possible to eliminate the effects of random variations, influences, disturbances, and biases from the procedures.

Limited Equipment Variability – Each of the specific codes in this handbook recognizes a reasonable amount of variability normally expected for each type of commercial equipment and the unique commodities or services measured. The equipment is subject to the effects of random variations, influences, disturbances, biases, and drift. In addition, the commodities and services are variables, as their properties affect how they are measured by the equipment.

Any official test result includes the variability from all three of the sources described above. When dealing with this variability, however, it is not practical to evaluate testing uncertainties for each inspector and each individual piece of equipment. The costs would be enormous to the regulatory agencies. Instead, the regulatory approach used in this Handbook is to view the process in terms of the tests on the entire population rather than on the single piece of equipment. In this context, the variability of the combined measurement is not a simple sum of the three parts, but rather a combination based on probabilities. We can express those probabilities using standard deviations (sd) for each of the terms. If we use S for standards, P for procedures and E for equipment, then we can express the total variation of the system using the formula below.

Total Variability = $\sqrt{(sd^2S + sd^2P + sd^2E)}$

Essentially the prescribed tolerance limit is a formal cap to equipment variability including variation from all sources in the verification, i.e. variability in the standards and in the performance of prescribed test procedures. (See General Code G-S.5.4.) If the variability of the standards and the test procedure are small relative to that of the equipment, their impact on the total variability can be shown to be relatively small. Thus the equipment variability emerges as the primary source of the variation within the population. Measurement science confirms that measurement performance behaves normally, producing a population that has probabilities approximating a bell-shaped curve. It is critical to understand that the probabilities of false rejection and false acceptance are equal under this approach and the risks are equally distributed between buyers and sellers. The tolerance limits serve to identify individual pieces of equipment in the tails of the bell that should be rejected and then adjusted back to the middle of the population. Examples of the impacts of various levels of variability for the three components are shown in the table below.

<u>sd</u> Equipment	<u>sd</u> Standards	<u>sd Procedures</u>	<u>sd Total</u> Variability	*Contribution of Equipment %
1	1/3	1/3	1.106	90
1	1/4	1/4	1.061	94
1	1/5	1/5	1.039	96
1	1/10	1/10	<u>1.010</u>	99

Contribution of Equipment% is calculated as sd Equipment/sd Total Variability*100

The general rule for tolerance application pertains to equipment that is adjustable. It employs two levels of tolerances, acceptance tolerances and maintenance tolerances, where acceptance tolerance values are generally one-half the value of maintenance tolerances. These tolerances are applied based on a timeline. The timeline begins when the equipment is initially placed in service and ends when the equipment is either officially rejected, undergoes a major reconditioning or overhaul, or is decommissioned by the user.

A) Tolerances when placed in service: Equipment is tested when it is initially placed in service. Based on the test result(s), it is adjusted to bring performance error(s) as close as practicable to zero error. In addition, all errors must be within the acceptance tolerances. (See General Code Paragraphs G-UR.4.3. and G-T.1.) However, when making any adjustment it is not possible to know the impacts of the random variations, influences, disturbances, and biases on the measurements at that moment. By adjusting as close as practicable to zero error, there is a balanced risk of introducing bias in the equipment to either overregister or underregister (but still perform within the acceptance tolerance). Thus, over the entire population of equipment, the adjustments result in equipment performance that is bunched close to zero error and a population that is not skewed in favor of either buyer or seller.

B) Tolerances on official tests made within the first 30 days after placement in service: In the first 30 days after adjustment, acceptance tolerances are applied to any official tests of the equipment. Over a 30 day period after adjustment, the range of influences is expected to be small and there should be minimal drift in the equipment, thus the smaller acceptance tolerances are deemed appropriate.

C) Tolerances on official tests made 31 or more days after placement in service: For any official test after the first 30 days in service, maintenance tolerances are applied. This larger tolerance recognizes the effects of a full range of influences and allows for small amounts of drift before the equipment will be officially rejected and require readjustment, repair, or major reconditioning or overhaul. Provided performance is maintained within the maintenance tolerances, the equipment can remain in service indefinitely. However, any out-of-tolerance performance in an official test is grounds for rejection and this creates a new timeline starting again at placement in service.

The special rule for tolerance application pertains to devices that at not adjustable, like steel tapes, timing devices, glass graduates, and measure-containers. For these devices the specific code prescribes only a single level of tolerances that are applied in all official tests of the equipment. These tolerances are applicable at all times the device is in service.

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A122.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

360-3 V Appendix D – Definitions: Batching System (See Related Item 320-1)

Source: Richard Suiter Consulting (2016)

Purpose:

Add a definition to NIST Handbook 44 Appendix D for batching systems..

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Appendix D, Definitions as follows:

batching system. – One in which materials are measured in pre-determined quantities by weight and/or liquid measure. 2.20

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A125.

CWMA Action: Item 360-3
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):
The submitter requested this item be changed to Informational. The SMA opposes this as a voting item
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)
Committee recommendation to the region:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (<i>To be developed by source</i>)
Reasons for the committee recommendation:
The submitter requested this item be changed to Informational
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (<i>To be developed by source</i>)
Unable to consider at this time (<i>Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below</i>)
Regional Report to NCWM:
Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your
region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports
from your region on this item.

The CWMA supports the submitter request to forward this as an informational item.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

360-4 V Appendix D – Definitions: calibration parameter

Source:

NCWM Multi-Point Calibration Group (MPCG) (2015)

Purpose:

Update the definitions in Appendix D to reflect advances in device calibration technology.

Item under Consideration:

Amend NIST Handbook 44 Appendix D – Definitions as follows:

calibration parameter. – Any adjustable parameter that can affect measurement or performance accuracy and, due to its nature, needs to be updated on an ongoing basis to maintain device accuracy, e.g., span adjustments, linearization factors, and coarse zero adjustments.[2.20, 2.21, 2.24, 3.30, <u>3.31, 3.32, 3.34, 3.35, 3.37, 3.38, 3.39, 5.56(a), and 5.58</u>]

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A126.

CWMA Action: Item 360-4
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):
There was no discussion on this matter
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)
Committee recommendation to the region:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Reasons for the committee recommendation:
There was no opposition on this item
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Unable to consider at this time (Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below)
Regional Report to NCWM:
Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your
region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports
from your region on this item.
The CWMA feels this item is fully developed.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

360-5 D Appendix D – Definitions: Remote Configuration Capability

Source:

NTEP Grain Analyzer Sector (2013)

Purpose:

Expand the scope of definition to cover instances where the "other device," as noted in the current definition, may be necessary to the operation of the weighing or measuring device or which may be considered a permanent part of that device.

Item Under Consideration:

This item is under development. Comments and inquiries may be directed to NIST Office of Weights and Measures.

A proposal to modify the definition for "remote configuration capability" as follows is under consideration:

remote configuration capability. – The ability to adjust a weighing or measuring device or change its sealable parameters from or through some other device that **is not may or may not** itself **be** necessary to the operation of the weighing or measuring device or **is not may or may not be** a permanent part of that device. [2.20, 2.21, 2.24, 3.30, 3.37, 5.56(a)]

(Added 1993, <u>Amended 20XX</u>)

Background/Discussion: See Appendix A, Page S&T-A127.

CWMA Action: Item 360-5
Summary of comments considered by the regional committee (in writing or during the open hearings):
The SMA opposes this item. They have concerns about changing sealable parameters without proper re-testing
Item as proposed by the regional committee: (If different than agenda item)
Committee recommendation to the region:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Reasons for the committee recommendation:
This item has merit but is still in the developmental stages
COMPLETE SECTION BELOW FOLLOWING VOTING SESSION
Final updated or revised proposal from the region: (If different than regional committee recommendation)
Regional recommendation to NCWM for item status:
Voting Item on the NCWM Agenda
Information Item on the NCWM Agenda
Withdraw the Item from the NCWM Agenda (In the case of new items, do not forward to NCWM)
Developing Item on the NCWM Agenda (To be developed by source)
Unable to consider at this time (Provide explanation in the "Additional Comments" section below)
Regional Report to NCWM:
Please provide your report in this section exactly how you want it to appear in the NCWM reports to represent your
region's considerations, support or opposition, and recommendations. This will replace any previous reports
from your region on this item.
The CWMA feels this item has merit and the comments received were in support of it but it is in need of

development.

Additional letters, presentations and data may have been part of the committee's consideration. Please refer to <u>http://www.ncwm.net/meetings/interim/publication-15</u> to review these documents.

Mr. David Fink, Ohio | Committee Chair Mr. Loren Minnich, Kansas | Member Mr. Mike Johnson, Nebraska | Member

Mr. Lynn Schultz, Minnesota | Member

Mr. Ivan Hankins | NCWM S&T Committee Representative

Specifications and Tolerances Committee